IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.48975 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC010707862026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-444 Year-2025 Thana- MAIRWAN District- Siwan

==========

Suganti Devi @ Rajanti Devi, W/o Balistar Chauhan @ Bairister Chauhan, Resident of Village - Badauli, P.S. - Mairwa, District - Siwan.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Gajendra Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Informant : Mr. Aatish Kumar, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Syed Mojibur Rahman, A.P.P.

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH

ORAL ORDER

2 25-11-2026 Heard Mr. Gajendra Kumar Singh, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner; Mr. Aatish Kumar, learned counsel for the Informant and Mr. Syed Mojibur Rahman, learned APP for the State.

2. At the outset, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner seeks to make certain necessary correction in paragraph no. 8 of the bail application, in course of the day.

3. Permission is accorded.

4. The petitioner seeks pre-arrest bail in connection with Mairwa P.S. Case No. 444 of 2025, registered for the offence punishable under Sections 103 and 3(5) of the Indian Penal Code.

WEB COPY

5. As per the allegation made in the FIR, petitioner along with other accused persons, had allegedly assaulted the informant and her husband causing injury, due to which, the husband of the informant died on the way to hospital.

6. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submitted that petitioner is innocent and she has falsely been implicated in the present case. General and omnibus allegation has been levelled against the petitioner. Other similarly situated co-accused, namely, Sabita Devi has been granted pre-arrest bail *vide* order dated 06.04.2026 passed by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court in Criminal Miscellaneous No. 18901 of 2026. Petitioner has clean antecedent. On these grounds, petitioner seeks to be released on pre-arrest bail.

7. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant and learned APP for the State have vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of pre-arrest bail.

8. Having heard the rival submissions made on behalf of the parties, as well as, having perused the allegation made in the FIR, I find that General and omnibus allegation has been levelled against the petitioner. Other similarly situated co-accused, namely, Sabita Devi has been granted pre-arrest bail *vide* order dated 06.04.2026 passed by a co-ordinate Bench of

WEB COPY

this Court in Criminal Miscellaneous No. 18901 of 2026. Petitioner has clean antecedent. I am of the opinion that petitioner has, *prima facie*, made out a case to be released on pre-arrest bail.

9. The petitioner, above named, is directed to be released on pre-arrest bail, in the event of his/her arrest or surrender before the learned District Court within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned District Court where the case is pending, in connection with Mairwa P.S. Case No. 444 of 2025, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

10. **The learned District Court is directed to verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner as stated in paragraph no. 3 of the bail application. If any other case is pending against the petitioner as what has been stated in paragraph no. 3, this order will lose its force automatically.**

(Purnendu Singh, J)

Niraj/-

U		T	

WEB COPY