

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.49570 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-460 Year-2023 Thana- NALANDA COMPLAINT CASE  
District- Nalanda

=====

Sanjay Ram @ Sanjay Kumar S/O Rajkumar Raj @ Late Raj Kumar Ram  
R/O Village- Berthu Bazitpur, P.S.- Karai Pasurai, District- Nalanda.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Rani Devi W/O Sanjay Ram @ Sanjay Kumar, D/O Surendra Mahto R/O Village- Berthu Bazitpur, P.S- Karai Pasurai, Distt.- Nalanda, At present residing at Village- Raghobpur, P.S- Jurawanpur, Distt.- Vaishali.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

|                    |   |                       |
|--------------------|---|-----------------------|
| For the Petitioner | : | Mr.Diwakar, Advocate  |
| For the State      | : | Ms.Shaheen Begum, APP |
| For the OP-2       | : | None                  |

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH**  
**ORAL ORDER**

3      08-01-2026                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State. Despite valid service of notice, nobody appears on behalf of opposite party no. 2.

2. The petitioner, husband of the opposite party no. 2, apprehends his arrest in a complaint case punishable for the offences under Sections 323, 341, 379, 498-A, 120(B), 504, 506, & 34 of the Indian Penal Code, in which, cognizance has been taken under Section 498-A of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Allegation against petitioner is of matrimonial cruelty and demand for dowry.

4. While denying the allegations made in the complaint



petition, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case merely because he happens to be husband of opposite party no. 2. At no point of time, petitioner demanded any dowry or committed torture with opposite party no. 2 and is still ready to keep the opposite party no. 2 with full honour and dignity. Moreover, the case is triable by the learned Magistrate. The petitioner has further relied upon the judgment of this Court in the case of *Md. Naimul Haque Ansari @ Naimul Haque Ansari & Ors. Vs. The State of Bihar*, reported in *2006(3) PLJR 182*.

5. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, in the event of arrest or surrender within a period of eight weeks from today, let this petitioner, as named above, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of **Rs.10,000/-** (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned J.M.F.C.-III, Nalanda at Bihar Sharif / concerned Court in connection with Complaint Case No. 460 C of 2023, subject to the conditions, as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C. / Section 482 of the B.N.S.S., 2023.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

anay

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

