

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.50310 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-246 Year-2025 Thana- SIMRI District- Darbhanga

1. Sulendra Ray @ Surendar Ray @ Surendra Ray @ Sulindar Ray son of Sakhichandra Ray Resident of Village- Ward No. 10, Chakshyam Nagar, Bikrampur Bande, Police Station- Muffasil (Musaffil) Wrongly Typed as Police Station- Karpurigram District- Samastipur
2. Pappu Kumar Son of Bhagat Ji @ Baijnath Ray Resident of village- Ukhara, Post- Rupaulighat Ughra, Ps- Bishanpur, Dist- Darbhanga

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Nilendu Kumar Choudhary, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Mohammad Sufyan, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 29-07-2026 Learned counsel for the petitioners and learned counsel for the APP are present.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in connection with Simri (Simri Bazar) P.S. Case No. 246 of 2025 registered for the offence under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 190, 126(2), 127(2), 115(2), 140(1), 308(4), 352 (wrongly typed as 52 in the order impugned 351(2) and 351(3) of the BNSS, 2023 pending in the Court of Learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Darbhanga.

3. The prosecution story, in brief, is that On 31.08.2025, the informant, Dilip Kumar, was traveling in a pickup van loaded with four cows and four calves along with his maternal uncle, Mohan Ray, and a co-driver. At approximately



10:00 PM, a white Scorpio carrying the petitioners, Surendra Ray, Raja Kumar and Pappu Kumar, along with several others armed with weapons, intercepted the pickup van at Bharathi Chowk and the accused allegedly abused and assaulted the co-driver, forcing him to flee away and compelled the informant and his uncle to enter the Scorpio. The petitioner Surendra Ray allegedly took control of the pickup van, claiming that the informant's uncle owed him money, the accused started threatening to kill them and sell the vehicle and cattle. While, the informant was eventually allowed to leave, the accused reportedly drove away with the uncle and the pickup van, and the uncle's phone subsequently became unreachable.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner no. 1 has no criminal antecedent whereas petitioner no. 2 is accused in one case. The Counsel for the petitioners further submits that the petitioners are innocent and have falsely been implicated in this case due to oblique reasons and admitted dispute by the informant.

5. Learned APP for the State vehemently opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioners.

6. Having heard the parties, and taking into account that it is a case of forcibly interception of vehicle, I am inclined



to grant the petitioners benefit of anticipatory bail. Let the petitioners above named, in the event of arrest/surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with Simri (Simri Bazar) P.S. Case No. 246 of 2025 registered for the offence under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 190, 126(2), 127(2), 115(2), 140(1), 308(4), 352 (wrongly typed as 52 in the order impugned 351(2) and 351(3) of the BNSS, 2023, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S., 2023.

(I) One of the bailors shall be the petitioners' own or close member.

(ii) The petitioners shall appear on each and every date fixed during the trial and also co-operate in the process of trial.

(iii) The petitioners shall not tamper with the prosecution evidence or influence/intimidate any witness during the course of trial.

(iv) The petitioners shall not commit offence of a similar nature in future.



In case of violation of any of the aforesaid conditions,
the Trial Court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the
petitioners.

(Alok Kumar, J)

Anand/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

