

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.49166 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-82 Year-2021 Thana- MADHUBANI TOWN District-
Madhubani

=====

Vijendra Mahto @ Bijendra Kumar Mahto Son of Surya Narayan Mahto
Resident Of Mohalla- Bhauara P.s- Madhubani Town District- Madhubani

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Subhash Kumar Jha, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Bharat Bhushan, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 29-07-2026 Heard the parties.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with (Sadar) Town P.S. Case No. 82 of 2021 for the offence under sections 272, 273, 290 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code and section 30(a) and 37(c) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

3. As per the prosecution story, the informant alleged that a *scooty* was intercepted and there is recovery/seizure of total 3 liters of country-made liquor from the two accused persons. This led to the FIR.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that one of the arrested person is his son, Abhishek Kumar who was riding the vehicle which stands recorded in his name which led



to his implication, and further, he do not have any criminal antecedent.

5. Further, learned counsel for the petitioner relied on the judgment of the Full Bench of Hon'ble Patna High Court in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar** reported in **2019 (2) PLJR 1089** wherein it has been held that an application for anticipatory bail in a case arising out of Bihar Excise and Prohibition Act can be maintained, despite the bar under Section 76(2) of the Act, if on the basis of allegation made in the FIR, no offence under the said provision is made out.

6. Learned APP, Mr. Bharat Bhushan opposes the prayer submitting that he being the owner cannot exonerate himself from the responsibility.

7. Taking into account the submissions of the parties and the judgment in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav (supra)** as also that recovery/seizure is from his son and he do not have any criminal antecedent, in that background, this Court is inclined to extend him the privilege of anticipatory bail with conditions.

8. If, however, it is found that contrary to the statement made in paragraph-3, the petitioner has criminal antecedent, the present order shall become infructuous.



9. Let the petitioner be released on bail in the event of arrest or surrender within a period of four weeks from the receipt of this order, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of Court concerned in connection with (Sadar) Town P.S. Case No. 82 of 2021 subject to the following conditions:

(i) one of the bailor should be the family member/relative of the petitioner who shall provide official document to show his/her bona fide;

(ii) the petitioner shall be appearing before the Police Station as and when required for cooperating in the investigation;

(iii) the petitioner shall appear on each and every date before the Trial Court and failure to do so for two consecutive dates without plausible reason will entail cancellation of his bail bond by the Trial Court itself;

(iv) the petitioner shall appear before the concerned police station every month for six months to mark his attendance and after the end of the period, the certificate shall be submitted before the Trial Court failing which steps shall be taken for the cancellation of the bail bonds;

(v) the petitioner shall in no way try to induce or



promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with the evidences,
failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for
cancellation of the bail bonds;

(vi) the petitioner shall desist from committing any
criminal offence again, failing which the State shall be at liberty
to take steps for cancellation of his bail bonds.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Adnan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

