

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.49612 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-167 Year-2026 Thana- MADHEPUR District- Madhubani

Pinki Devi Wife of Devi Lal Dharikar @ Harkhu Dharikhar @ Devi Lal
Resident of Village-Dharikar Tol, Nawada, P.S.- Madhepur, District-
Madhubani.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Baleshwar Kamat

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Akshay Lal Pandit

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 2 22-07-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.
2. The petitioner apprehends her arrest in a case
registered for the offence punishable under Section 30(a) of
Bihar Prohibition and Excise (Amendment) Act, 2016.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and is a woman and
allegation is of recovery of 89.700 litres of liquor from five
different places, out of which 6 litres of liquor is alleged to have
been recovered from a place in front of the house of the
petitioner.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner was not arrested from the spot as such nothing was



recovered from her conscious possession and even alleged recovery is from a place which does not belong to the petitioner but then is adjacent to her house and she came to be implicated based on confessional statement of Bechan in police custody which does not have any evidentiary value. It is also submitted that petitioner has no concern or relation with Bechan.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of her arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on provisional anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.500/- (Rupees five hundred) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Madhepur P.S. Case No.167/2026, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

7. It is made clear that the learned trial court thereafter shall verify the criminal antecedents of the petitioner and in the event if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of even one case, in that event, it would be presumed that petitioner had



concealed her antecedent before this court, as such, the provisional anticipatory bail order shall not be confirmed but if on verification it is found that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent, in that event the provisional anticipatory bail order shall be confirmed forthwith.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

