

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.60859 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-1528 Year-2019 Thana- BHAGALPUR COMPLAINT CASE
District- Bhagalpur

=====

Urmila Devi Wife of Sri Shaligram Paswan R/O Vill.- Satnagar, Satjori, P.s.-
Goradih, Dist.- Bhagalpur

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. Shiv Narayan Paswan @ Kalicharan Paswan Son of Jitu Paswan R/O Vill.-
Satnagar, Satjori, P.s.- Goradih, Dist.- Bhagalpur
3. Vibhisan Paswan Son of Sri Narayan Paswan @ Kalicharan Paswan R/O
Vill.- Satnagar, Satjori, P.s.- Goradih, Dist.- Bhagalpur

... .. Opposite Parties

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Vivekanand Vivek, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Umeshanand Pandit, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 23-07-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and

learned APP for the State

2. This application has been filed for

cancellation of bail granted to the opposite party nos.2 and 3 by

the learned In-charge Magistrate, Bhagalpur, *vide* order dated

16.04.2022 in connection with Complaint Case No.1528 of

2019 registered for the offence under Sections 354(B)/379/34 of

the Indian Penal Code.

3. I have perused the impugned order granting

bail to the opposite party nos.2 and 3 and also perused the F.I.R.

I do not find any error in the impugned order. It appears that the



petitioner has also challenged the order of the Magistrate before the learned Additional Sessions Judge-II, Bhagalpur by filing Criminal Miscellaneous No.94 of 2023, which was dismissed on 01.03.2024. Further, no supervening circumstance has been brought on record to show that the opposite party nos.2 and 3 have misused the privilege of bail.

4. In view of the above, this Court finds no sufficient ground to interfere with the impugned order. Accordingly, this application is rejected.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

Shalini/ pawan

U		T	
---	--	---	--

