

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.11454 of 2025

=====

Rajesh Chandra Nayak, Son of Late Ramchandra Nayak, Resident of Village-
Noniya Tola, Ward no. 29, Police Station and District-Madhubani.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Election Officer, Bihar, Patna.
2. The District Election Officer-Cum-District Magistrate, Madhubani.
3. The Deputy Election Officer Madhubani.
4. The G.S.T. Officer, Madhubani.
5. The Treasury Officer, Madhubani.
6. The New Shankar Press, Chapra, Jan Tola Rajendra College More Chapra
through the Proprietor.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Uday Chandra Prasad, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr.Manoj Kumar Sinha, AC to GA-9
For the Respondent No.6:		Mr. Shekhar Harshwardhan, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL DUTTA MISHRA
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH)

5 15-05-2026 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The following reliefs have been sought in the
present writ application:

*“(i) For issuance of the nature of Mandamus
commanding the Respondents concerned to make
amendment in Kandika 5, 6 of the condition with
respect to category 1 and 6 of Tender Notice in Bihar
Assembly Election 2025 issued by Collectorate,
Madhubani vide Tender Notice dated 27.03.2025.
(ii) For issuance of writ in the nature of Mandamus
commanding the Respondents to accept the Tender
bid of the petitioner by amending the Kandika 5, 6 of
condition with respect to 1 and 6 in Bihar Assembly*



Election 2025.

(iii) For issuance of writ in the nature of Mandamus commanding the Respondents to reject the Tender bid of Respondent No. 6 who has done a large level of misappropriation of money of the Government by using several G.S.T. Number and further to put his firm in black list company and to debar him for taking part in any bid of election work or any other work of Government Sector.

(iv) For any other appropriate writ, order, direction save and except in accordance with law.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the present writ application as the same has become infructuous.

2. Accordingly, the present writ application stands dismissed as withdrawn having become infructuous.

3. Pending application(s), if any, shall stands disposed of

(Sudhir Singh, J)

(Sunil Dutta Mishra, J)

Sujit/-

U			
---	--	--	--

