**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.49932 of 2026**

**CNR No. BRHC010700892026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-94 Year-2025 Thana- NARHATT District- Nawada

==========

Jitu Chauhan Son of Pahalu Noniya Resident of Village -Punaul PS -Narhat, Dist- Nawada ... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar ... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

**Appearance :**
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vivek Kumar Sinha, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pandey, APP
For the Informant : Mr. Arun Kumar, Advocate
Mr. Raushan Raj, Advocate
Mr. Amit Kumar Singh, Advocate

==========

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY**

ORAL ORDER

3 30-10-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned counsel for the informant and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection with Narhat P.S. Case No.94 of 2025 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 115(2), 126(2), 118(1), 109, 352 & 3(5) of the BNS.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that earlier his bail petition was rejected vide order dated 08.12.2025 with a liberty to renew his prayer for bail after six months, if the trial is not concluded by then.

4. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that the petitioner gave a dagger blow to the husband of the informant and also assaulted her son, namely, Pritam Chauhan due to

WEB COPY

which both of them sustained injuries.

5. A report from the learned trial court was called for regarding stage of trial and learned trial court has reported that the case has been committed to the Court of Session.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that as the trial has not begun, he may be granted bail. It has been submitted that the petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 16.03.2025 having no criminal antecedent.

7. The application for bail is opposed by learned counsel for the Informant and has submitted that prosecution may be directed to conclude the trial within three months.

8. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage.

9. Learned trial court is directed to conclude the trial within three months and prosecution is also directed to co-operate in the trial so that the trial may be concluded within the same period and the petitioner is at liberty to renew his prayer for bail after three months, if the trial is not concluded.

**(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)**

durgesh/-

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| **U** | | T | |

WEB COPY