

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.48081 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-425 Year-2023 Thana- SUGAULI District- East Champaran

Bhulchula @ Bhulchula Yadav @ Subhash Yadav @ Subhash Kumar @
Bhulchul Yadav S/o- Sheo Shankar Rai @ Shiva Sankar Ray R/o - Kanti
Kushi, P.S - Kanti , District - Muzaffarpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Jha
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Jharkhandi Upadhyay, APP
Mr. Murli Dhar

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

4 11-03-2026 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the
learned APP for the State.

2. This is the 2nd attempt of the petitioner seeking bail
in connection with Sugauli P.S. Case No. 425 of 2023 registered
for the offence under Section 395 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Earlier the bail application of the petitioner was
rejected on 05.10.2024 in Cr. Misc. No. 69261 of 2024 which
reads as follows:-

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner
and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection
with Sugauli P.S. Case No.425 of 2023 registered for the
offence punishable under Section 395 of the Indian
Penal Code.

3. Unknown criminals committed a dacoity
in Bandhan Bank, Motihari and looted more than
Rs.3,00,000/-.

4. It has been submitted by learned counsel



for the petitioner that the there is no recovery from the petitioner and no Test Identification Parade has been conducted.

5. The name of the petitioner has come in the selfinculpatory statement of co-accused Aftab Alam. Other materials have come against the petitioner to connect him with the crime. He has criminal antecedent also. The petitioner is in custody since 18.04.2024.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts, I am not inclined to grant the petitioner privilege of regular bail.

7. Accordingly, this application stands dismissed.

4. Sufficient materials have come during the investigation to connect the petitioner with the alleged crime. The trial has started.

5. This Court finds no ground to review its earlier order. Accordingly, this application for regular bail stands rejected.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

Shishir/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

