

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.48530 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-392 Year-2024 Thana- MUNGER MUFFASIL District- Munger

Pappu Yadav, S/o Lakhon Yadav, Resident of Village- Bihari Marar Tola, P.S.-
Mufassil, District- Munger

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sunil Kumar Yadav, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Sharda Kumari, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

2 22-07-2026 Heard learned Counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner who apprehends arrest in connection with Munger Mufassil P.S. Case No. 392 of 2024 lodged on 08.11.2024, for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 109, 303(2), 351(2) and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Section 27 of Arms Act.

3. As per the prosecution, four named accused persons including the petitioner, in connivance with each other, reached at the *Chhath Ghat* and started threatening the informant and when the same was objected, co-accused Khaltu Yadav resorted



to fire upon the informant's brother. It is further alleged that in the meantime, the present petitioner also fired upon the informant who somehow saved his life.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is innocent, has committed no offence and has falsely been implicated in this case due to dirty village politics. Learned counsel further submits that co-accused has already been granted bail by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 13.05.2026, passed in Criminal Miscellaneous No. 29657 of 2026. The petitioner is having one criminal antecedent in which he is on bail.

5. Learned APP for the State vehemently opposes the prayer for bail and submits that the order passed by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court (Annexure P/3), granting anticipatory bail to the co-accused clearly indicates that the allegations of firing is not against the said petitioner (co-accused).

6. In this background, this Court finds that the case of present petitioner is on different footing from the case of co-accused who has been granted anticipatory bail by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court, and is not inclined to grant bail to the present petitioner. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the



petitioner in connection with Munger Mufassil P.S. Case No.
392 of 2024, pending before the learned C.J.M., Munger is
hereby rejected.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Ashwini/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

