IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.49876 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC010696832026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-34 Year-2025 Thana- Cyber P.S. District- West Champaran

==========

Amurullah Ansari @ Amirullah Ansari @ Mohammad Amirullah son of Sri Mumtaz Ansari Resident of Village -Jaukatia Chaube Tola, Ward No 13, Police Station- Majhaulia, Dist- West Champaran

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Krishna Pd. Singh, Sr. Advocate
Mr.Anil Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Yogendra Kumar, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

3 30-10-2026 Heard the parties.

2. This is the second attempt of the petitioner for grant of regular bail in connection with Bettiah Cyber P.S. Case No. 34 of 2025 registered for the offence under Sections 318(4), 319(2), 303(2), 317(4), 61(2), 317(5) and 111 of the B.N.S. and Sections 66(C) and 66(D) of the I.T. Act. Earlier the bail application of the petitioner was rejected vide order dated 19.12.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 71111 of 2025 which reads as under:-

> " Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.
>
> **2.** The petitioners seek bail in connection with Bettiah Cyber P.S. Case No. 34/2025 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 318(4),

WEB COPY

319(2), 303(2), 61(2), 317(4), 317(5), 111 of B.N.S. and Sections 66(c) and 66(d) of Information Technology Act, 2000.

3. As per the prosecution case, petitioners are involved in cyber fraud.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have falsely been implicated in the case. Learned counsel further submits that petitioner namely, Amirullah Anasri has one criminal antecedent and petitioner, namely, Md. Meraj Alam has two criminal antecedents and petitioner, namely, Md. Kalimullah has three criminal antecedents and they are in custody since 17.07.2025. The petitioners undertake to co-operate in the case/ trial.

5. Learned APP for the State has opposed the prayer for regular bail of the petitioners on the ground that petitioners have committed cyber fraud.

6. Considering the recovery and the fact that the petitioners are involved in cyber fraud, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioners.

7. Accordingly, this application stands dismissed. ”

3. It has been submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the trial is progressing but till now only one witness has been examined.

4. Considering the gravity of offence and the fact that trial has started, this Court finds no ground to review its earlier order.

5. Accordingly, this application is dismissed with a direction to the concerned Court below to proceed with the trial

WEB COPY

in accordance with law.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

tusharika/-

U		T	

WEB COPY