

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.14630 of 2023**

=====

Satya Narayan Agrawal Son of Late Kusheshwar Prasad Agrawal, Resident of  
Mauza- Madhepur, P.S. Madhepur, District - Madhubani.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Collector, Madhubani.
2. The Divisional Commissioner, Darbhanga Division, Darbhanga.
3. The Competent Officer-cum-Additional Collector, Madhubani.
4. The Competent Officer-cum-District Land Acquisition Officer, Madhubani.
5. The Circle Officer, Madhepur, Madhubani.
6. The Project Director, National Highway

... .. Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

|                      |   |                                       |
|----------------------|---|---------------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s | : | Mr. Abinash Kumar, Advocate           |
| For the State        | : | Mr. Raj Kishore Roy, GP-18            |
| For the NHAI         | : | Mr. Sriram Krishna, Advocate          |
|                      | : | Mr. Shashank Shekhar Kunwar, Advocate |

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      07-04-2026                      Learned counsel for the petitioner undertakes that he  
shall be removing the defect.

2. Heard Mr. Abinash Kumar, learned counsel for the  
petitioner and learned State counsel as also learned counsel  
representing the National Highway Authority of India.

3. The present petition has been preferred for the  
grant of following relief(s):

*“for issuance of direction/directions upon  
the Respondent authorities to re-determine the  
compensation amount at commercial rate*



*instead of residential rate in acquired land measuring 0.0578713 Hectare land including building standing over Khata No.1193, Khesra No.7972 exclusively belonged to the petitioner, therefore pay the difference amount along with stipulated interest to the petitioner.”*

4. A counter affidavit has come on behalf of the respondent nos. 1, 3 and 4 and paragraph no.12 shows that the petitioner has filed Arbitration Case No. 125 of 2022 which is pending before the Arbitrator.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that it has been filed in the year 2022 but has not been taken to its logical conclusion yet.

6. We are in the year 2026, it is expected from the Arbitrator to take the matter to its logical conclusion at an earliest and preferably within a period of six months from today.

7. The writ petition is disposed of with the aforesaid observation.

**(Rajiv Roy, J)**

vinayak/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

