

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.10756 of 2026

=====

Vivek Kumar Singh son of Late Satyendra Narayan Singh, Resident of Club Road, P.S. Ara Nawada, District-Bhojpur

.... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Secretary, Rural Works Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Engineer in Chief cum Registering Authority, Rural Works Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Chief Engineer, Construction and Quality Control, Rural Works Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Superintending Engineer, Rural Works Department, Work Circle-Ara, District-Bhojpur, Bihar.
5. The Executive Engineer, Rural Works Department, Works Division-Dumraon, District-Buxar, Bihar.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Prabhat Ranjan, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. S.D. Sanjay, Advocate General
	:	Mr. Rahul Kumar, AC to AG

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE)

2 22-07-2026 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. Following is the relief sought for in the present writ
application:-

(i) Quashing of the Notice to Show-Cause as contained in Letter No. 7958 dated 19.06.2026 (Annexure P/6) issued by the Engineer In-Chief



Cum Registering Authority whereby, the petitioner has been reminded to submit reply within 3-days, against the contemplated action of blacklisting for the allegation of failure to rectify the defects in the Maintenance Work of Bagen High School to Pokhaha Dalit Tola Road under MMGSY Scheme;

(ii) Quashing of the ex parte order bearing Memo No. 8379 dated 30.06.2026 (Annexure P/8) whereby petitioner's Registration No. 1200096 has been blacklisted for a period of 10-years in terms of Rule 11 (ka) (vi) and Rule 11 (kha) (i) of the Bihar Contractors Registration Rules. 2007 for the allegation of failure to remove the ATR, sub-standard execution of the work along with allegation of financial irregularities;

(iii) Restraining the Respondent from giving effect to ex parte order bearing Memo No. 8379 dated 30.06.2026 during the pendency of the present writ application and/or the without the leave of this Hon'ble Court."

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that during pendency of the present writ application, the order of blacklisting contained in Memo No. 8379 dated 30.06.2026, issued by the Engineer-in-Chief, has been revoked by the competent authorities.

4. In view of the aforesaid submission, this Court is of the considered opinion that no further order is required to be passed in the matter as the same has become infructuous.

5. Accordingly, the present writ application stands disposed of as having become infructuous.



6. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(Sudhir Singh, ACJ)

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Suruchi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

