IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.50644 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC010695612026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-80 Year-2026 Thana- SHYAMPUR BHATHAN District-Sheohar

==========

1. Jitendra Kumar Son of Ram Pravesh Sah Resident Of Village -Nayagaon Maliya Tola, Ward No. 8, Ps- Shaympur Bhataha, District -Sheohar
2. Sakil Ansari @ Sakil Son of Late Akbar Ansari Resident Of Village -Nayagaon Maliya Tola, , Ps- Shaympur Bhataha, District -Sheohar

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Purushottam Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mrs.Nirmala Kumari, A.P.P.

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH

ORAL ORDER

4 29-10-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned A.P.P for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in Shyampur Bhataha P.S. Case No. 80 of 2026, registered for offence punishable under Sections 140(3), 87, 3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023.

3. Informant alleged that on 20.03.2026 at about 10:00 AM, his wife had gone to Nayagaon Bazaar to get a photocopy of Aadhar card and while she was returning, these petitioners and other two co-accused forcibly abducted her in a vehicle. Despite hectic search by informant, his wife could not be traced. Informant suspects that the accused persons had abducted his wife with intention to sell her or commit her murder.

WEB COPY

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners are quite innocent and have committed no offence and have been falsely implicated in this case due to village politics. As a matter of fact, informant had taken a loan from petitioner no. 1 and when he demanded his money back, this false and concocted case has been lodged. Petitioners claim clean antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State vehemently opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail and submits that the victim, in her statement recorded under Section 183 of B.N.S.S., has supported the prosecution case and has specifically named these petitioners, as their kidnappers, who also committed rape upon her after drugging her and also recorded video of the incident.

6. Considering the nature of accusation and statement of the victim recorded under Section 183 of B.N.S.S., the prayer for anticipatory bail of petitioners is rejected.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

anay

U		T	

WEB COPY