

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.49981 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-243 Year-2025 Thana- MALAHI District- East Champaran

Anil Nat S/o Harendra Nat Resident of Village- Sirni Nat Toli, P.S.- Malahi,
District- East Champaran, Motihari

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Sharda Nand Mishra, Adv.
		Mr. Sumit Kumar Gupta, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mrs.Suman Kumari Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 22-07-2026 Heard learned Counsel for the petitioner and

learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with Malahi P.S. Case No. 243 of 2023 u/s 30(a) of Bihar Prohibition & Excise (Amendment) Act, 2022, which was rejected the prayer for anticipatory bail by Learned Exclusive rejected the prayer for anticipatory bail by Learned Exclusive Special Judge-1, East Champaran, Motihari.

3. Prosecution story in brief, is that, on 23.09.2024 the informant along with other police forces got information that in village Sirni Nat Toli co-accused Harendra Nat and the



petitioner was keeping wine in his house and selling it. When the Police reached the spot, two persons fled away and their name was transpired by the villagers and local chowkidar. During the search, 50 litres of country made chulai wine was recovered by the Police.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence and has falsely been implicated in this case on mere suspicion. The counsel further submits that the petitioner has three similar criminal antecedents. The counsel also submits that the petitioner was not present at the spot and his name has been added by the villagers and local chowkidar.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. Having heard the parties and considering the fact that the petitioner has been named in three similar criminal cases and the wine was recovered from the joint house of the petitioner, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioners.

7. Accordingly, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner is, hereby, rejected.

8. However, if the petitioner surrenders before the learned court below within a period of six weeks from today and



pray for regular bail, the same shall be disposed of on its own
merit without being prejudiced by this order.

(Alok Kumar, J)

Anand/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

