

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1497 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-408 Year-2026 Thana- HAJIPUR SADAR District- Vaishali

Manju Devi Wife of Arvinid Mahato Resident of Village- Dighi Kalan, East,  
Ward No. 25, PS- Hajipur Sadar, Dist- Vaishali Hajipur

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar, Through the Chief Secretary, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. Director General Police, Patna, Bihar.
3. DIG, Muzaffarpur
4. District Magistrate, Vaishali, Hajipur.
5. Superintendent of Police, Vaishali, Hajipur.
6. SHO, Sadar Thana, Hajipur, Vaishali.
7. Sudhir Kumar son of Ranjet Mahato Resident of Village- Dighi Kalan, PS- Hajipur Sadar, Dist- Vaishali Hajipur.
8. Puja Devi Wife of Ranjeet Mahato Resident of Village- Dighi Kalan, East, PS- Hajipur Sadar, Dist- Vaishali Hajipur.
9. Karan Kumar Son of Ranjeet Mahato Resident of Village- Dighi Kalan, East, , PS- Hajipur Sadar, Dist- Vaishali Hajipur

... .. Respondents

**Appearance :**

|                    |   |                                                                     |
|--------------------|---|---------------------------------------------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner | : | Mr. Dhananjay Mishra. Advocate<br>Mr. Nilesh Kumar Mishra. Advocate |
| For the State      | : | Mr. Shashwat Agarwal, AC to A.G                                     |

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD**  
**and**  
**HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL DUTTA MISHRA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

**(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD)**

2      21-07-2026                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
AC to AG for the State.

2. It appears that after filing of the writ application,  
the police came in action and now, the victim girl has been  
recovered about three days back.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has, however, a



grievance with the conduct of the S.H.O of Sadar, Hajipur. Statements have been made in paragraph '5' of the writ application that despite the petitioner approaching the officer, no action was taken by the S.H.O. Copy of the representations are annexed as Annexure-P/2 and Annexure-P/3 to the writ application.

3. Having regard to the grievance of the petitioner, we direct the Superintendent of Police, Vaishali to look into the matter and examine as to whether the S.H.O, Sadar, Hajipur has not acted immediately on receipt of the information. If the S.P, Vaishali finds that there is undue delay in attending the matter, the S.P, Vaishali shall consider taking appropriate action in accordance with law.

4. This writ application stands disposed of accordingly.

**(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)**

**(Sunil Dutta Mishra, J)**

lekhi/-Tanishka/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

