

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.10787 of 2026

-
1. Md. Anwar Shadab Son of Md. Iltaf Hussain, resident of Village- Walidad, Ward No.- 7, P.S.-Mehandiya, P.O.- Walidad, District- Arwal.
 2. Deepak Kumar Singh, Son of Late Ganauri Singh, resident of Village- Bhimal Bigaha, P.O.- Sakari Khurd, P.S.- Parasi, District- Arwal, Pin Code- 804428.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Main Secretariat, P.S.- Sachiwalay, Dist.- Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Home Dept., Govt. of Bihar.
3. The Director General of Police, Govt. of Bihar.
4. Bihar Police Subordinate Services Commission, Patna through its Chairman.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Md. Mokhtar Ansari, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Standing Counsel (07)
For the BPSC		Mr. Sanjay Pandey, Advocate
		Mr. Nishant Kr. Jha, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

2 23-07-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners, learned counsel for the State, learned counsel for the Bihar Public Service Commission and learned counsel for the Respondent No.4.

2. The petitioners have filed the present writ petition for the following relief/s :

“(i) For issuance of an appropriate Writ/s, Order/s or a Writ in the nature of a Direction/s, particularly Writ of Mandamus, for commanding and directing the



respondents to select/appoint the petitioners on the post of Constable/Police in the light of the Advertisement published in the year 2004 by the Central Selection Board (Constable Recruitment), Bihar bearing Advertisement No. 01/2004, 02/2004 and 03/2004, whereby (Three Advertisements), total 10474 posts were published for appointment of Constable/Police.

(ii) For grant of any other relief or reliefs to the petitioners, as your Lordships may deem fit and proper, in the following facts and circumstances of the case.”

3. Learned counsel for the State has raised a preliminary objection that the petitioners have filed the present writ petition with a prayer for issuance of mandamus but for redressal of grievances representation has not been filed by them before the appropriate authority and have directly moved before this Court.

4. Under the circumstances, this Court is of the view that the writ petition is premature. Accordingly, it is dismissed.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Manshi/Ashwini

U			
---	--	--	--

