

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.49427 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-229 Year-2025 Thana- MANSI District- Khagaria

Tej Narayan Goswami Son of Lal Bihari Goswami @ Late Bihari Goswami
Resident of Village- Bharwara, P.S.- Hasanpur, District- Samastipur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Abhishek Kumar, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sucheta Yadav

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. B. PD. SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 22-07-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and

learned APP for the State.

2. The instant application for anticipatory bail has been filed by the petitioner apprehending his arrest in a case instituted for the offence punishable under Sections 25(1-B) (a), 26(2), 35 of the Arms Act.

3. The prosecution case, in brief, is that the police party apprehended co-accused Ram Nath Yadav, from whose possession six country-made pistols were recovered from a bag being carried by him. During interrogation, he allegedly disclosed that he had purchased the said incriminating articles from co-accused Saurav Yadav and was going to deliver them to the holder of mobile numbers allegedly



belonging to the petitioner and one other co-accused person.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. It is contended that neither was the petitioner apprehended at the place of occurrence nor was any incriminating article recovered from his conscious possession. Learned counsel further submits that the petitioner has no concern with the apprehended co-accused person or with the incriminating articles allegedly recovered from the possession of the co-accused. It is also submitted that the petitioner is not named in the FIR and his name surfaced in the present case solely on the basis of the confessional statement of co-accused Ram Nath Yadav, which has no evidentiary value in the eyes of law. A statement has been made in paragraph 3 of the petition that the petitioner has no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer of bail.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and in the facts and circumstances of the case as stated above,



this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The petitioner is directed to surrender in the Court below within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt/production of this order and in the event of his arrest or surrender in connection with Mansi P.S. Case no. 229 of 2025, he will be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate I, Khagaria-cum-In charge, Chief Judicial Magistrate, Khagaria, subject to the conditions as laid down under section 482 of Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS).

(S. B. Pd. Singh, J)

Nirajkrs/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

