

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.48324 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-83 Year-2026 Thana- JALE District- Darbhanga

1. Pramod Sah S/o Mune Sah @ Munim Sah Resident of Village - Narauchh Behari, P.S. - Jalley, Dist. - Darbhanga.
2. Geeta Devi W/o Pramod Sah Resident of Village - Narauchh Behari, P.S. - Jalley, Dist. - Darbhanga.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Iqbal Asif Niazi
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Vinod Shanker Modi

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 22-07-2026 Heard Mr. Iqbal Asif Niazi, learned Advocate for the

petitioners and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the

State.

2. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in
connection with Jalley P.S. Case No. 83 of 2026, registered for
the offences punishable under Sections 80, 3(5) of the BNS.

3. Based upon the written report, the prosecution
alleges that the marriage of the informant's daughter was
solemnized with the son of the petitioners on 15.04.2023.
Despite having given sufficient dowry, the accused persons were
kept on demanding dowry and subjected her to torture in various
kinds. A *panchayati* was also convened but no fruitful result has



come. On 13.04.2026, the informant came to know that the accused persons killed his daughter.

4. Learned Advocate for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners before this Court are none else but the parents-in-law. In fact, the marriage was solemnized three years ago and the couple was also blessed with a male child. However, subsequently, the deceased had developed Gynecological complication, whereupon she was taken to a private hospital and, later on, the deceased complained of chest pain and she was again taken to another reputed hospital. However, during course of the treatment she died. The postmortem was also conducted wherein no sign or mark of violence has been found. During the course of investigation, the independent witnesses have also supported the factum of her treatment being carried out in the private hospitals. The petitioners have nothing to do with the day to day affairs of the husband and wife and they were separated in mess and business. The petitioners undertake that they will fully cooperate in the proceeding of the court.

5. On the other hand, learned Advocate for the State vehemently opposed the bail application and submitted that the deceased died within three years of marriage and preceding her death there was demand of dowry and the death was



unnatural, the complicity of the petitioners, being parents-in-law causing dowry death, cannot be denied.

6. Regard being had to the submissions made on behalf of the parties and considering the fact that the deceased was treated in different hospitals and died on account of heart failure and that the post-mortem report suggests no marks of violence, coupled with the fact that the petitioners are none but the parents-in-law, let the petitioners abovenamed be released on bail, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order, upon furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned ACJM-I, Darbhanga in connection with Jalley P.S. Case No. 83 of 2026, subject to the conditions laid down in Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, with the further condition that one of the bailors shall be the own/close family members of the petitioners.

(Harish Kumar, J)

Anjani/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

