

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12464 of 2023

Arvind Kumar S/o Rajeshwar Prasad R/o- 58 R, Mouna Fatak, Kashmiri
Hata, Chapra, District- Saran-8410001.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Through the Principal Secretary, Labour Resource Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Additional Chief Secretary, Labour Resource Department, Govt. of Bihar, 2nd Floor C Block, Niyojan Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna.
3. The Special Works Officer, Labour Resource Department, Govt. of Bihar.
4. The Secretary, Finance Department, Govt. of Bihar Patna.
5. The Accountant General (A and E), Bihar, Patna.
6. The Presiding Officer, Labour court, Muzaffarpur.
7. The Labour Commissioner, Patna, Bihar.
8. The District Provident Fund Officer, Patna.
9. The District Provident Fund Officer, Muzaffarpur.
10. The Presiding Officer of the Industrial Tribunal, Patna.
11. The Presiding Officer, Labour court, Saran at Chapra.
12. The Treasury Officer, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Anshu Kumar, Adv. Mr. Shashi Shekhar Tiwary, Adv.
For the State	:	Smt. Anuradha Singh, SC 21
For the Res. No. 5	:	Dr. Anand Kumar, Adv. Mr. Rajan Prakash, Adv. Ms. Pragya Kiran, Adv.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 29-06-2026

Learned Advocates for the respective parties are present.

2. Mr. Anshu Kumar, learned Advocate appearing for
Mr. Shashi Shekhar Tiwary, learned Advocate for the petitioner,
on instruction, submits that during the pendency of the writ
petition, substantial amount under each head of retiral benefits



and other dues have been paid. However, the petitioner has some grievance with respect to admissibility of the pay scale and the lesser payment.

3. Mrs. Anuradha Singh, learned S.C. 21, on instruction, referring to the counter affidavit submitted that all the retiral and other dues have been paid.

4. Considering the submissions advanced by the learned Advocates for the respective parties and taking note of the fact that substantial amount has already been paid to the petitioner, this Court finds it apt and proper to close the writ petition. However, if the petitioner feels aggrieved under any head, he shall be at liberty to approach the concerned authority for redressal of his grievance.

5. The writ petition stands disposed.

(Harish Kumar, J)

Anjani/-

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	30 .06.2026
Transmission Date	

