IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.49143 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC010684452026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-86 Year-2026 Thana- DAUDPUR District- Saran

==========

1. Butan Ram S/O Hari Ram @ Hare Ram R/o Village- Navira, P.S- Daudpur, District - Saran.
2. Bhulan Ram S/O Hari Ram @ Hare Ram R/o Village- Navira, P.S- Daudpur, District - Saran.
3. Dhanai Ram @ Dhanari Ram S/O Late Ramdhari Ram R/o Village- Navira, P.S- Daudpur, District - Saran.
4. Munita Devi @ Goli Devi W/O Dhanai Ram @ Dhanari Ram R/o Village- Navira, P.S- Daudpur, District - Saran.
5. Rambha Kumari @ Rambha Devi W/O Bhulan Ram R/o Village- Navira, P.S- Daudpur, District - Saran.
6. Rinki Devi @ Rinki @ Rinku Devi W/O Butan Ram R/o Village- Navira, P.S- Daudpur, District - Saran.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Jha, Advocate
Mr. Raushan, Advocate
Mr. Sahil Kumar, Advocate

For the State : Mr. Ram Anurag Singh, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJIT KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

4 20-11-2026 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with Daudpur P.S. Case No.86 of 2026, F.I.R dated 08.03.2026 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 109, 74, 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

WEB COPY

3. According to prosecution case, on 07.03.2026 at around 07:00 A.M., accused Dhanai Ram came to the informant's house and started abusing him. Upon objection, he called the other accused persons, who arrived and assaulted the informant and his family members with knives and sticks. Accused Butan Ram allegedly inflicted a knife injury upon the informant with the intention to kill him. The accused persons also assaulted Rinku Devi, Sonamati Devi and Prabhavati Devi, causing them serious injuries. When the informant's daughter, Gudiya Kumari, intervened to rescue them, accused Vikki Kumar caught her by her hair and tore her clothes, leaving her half-naked. However, local villagers arrived, rescued the victims and saved her modesty, following which the accused persons fled the spot.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that for an existing land dispute, the incident is said to have taken place and by exaggerating the allegations, the petitioners have been implicated in the present case, while, the injuries sustained by the informant and his family members have been found to be simple in nature, nothing specific is alleged against these petitioners and no specific allegation of assault or overt act is attributed against these petitioners rather the allegations against

WEB COPY

these petitioners are general and omnibus in nature. It has further been submitted that the petitioners and the informant and his family members are agnates and the petitioners have no criminal antecedent and undertakes to maintain peace and harmony if the privilege of anticipatory bail is extended in their favour.

5. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail application.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances that for an existing land dispute, the present incident is said to have taken place, no specific allegation of assault or overt act is attributed against these petitioners rather the allegations against these petitioners are general and omnibus in nature, the petitioners and the informant and his family members are agnates and the petitioners have no criminal antecedent and undertakes to maintain peace and harmony if the privilege of anticipatory bail is extended in their favour. Accordingly, this Court is inclined to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

7. Let the petitioners, above named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the Court below within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the order, be released

WEB COPY

on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Saran at Chapra, in connection with Daudpur P.S. Case No.86 of 2026, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure / Section 482(2) of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita and with other following conditions:-

(i) one of the bailors should be the family member/relative/known of the petitioner(s) who shall provide official document/personal affidavit to show his/her bona fide;

(ii) the petitioner(s) shall appear on each and every date before the Trial Court and failure to do so for two consecutive dates without plausible reason will entail cancellation of his/her/their bail bond by the Trial Court itself;

(iii) the petitioner(s) shall in no way try to induce or promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with the evidences, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of the bail bonds;

(iv) the petitioner(s) shall desist from committing any criminal offence again, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of their bail bonds.

(Ajit Kumar, J)

sharun/-

U		T	

WEB COPY