

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.48123 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-71 Year-2026 Thana- MEDNI CHAUKI District- Lakhisarai

1. Manish Kumar @ Munna Chaurasiya son of Sri Krishan Mandal Resident of village- Khawa, P.s-Mednichowki, District-Lakhisarai
2. Chhotu @ Ravi Kumar Son of Sri Krishan Mandal Resident of village- Khawa, P.s-Mednichowki, District-Lakhisarai
3. Raushan Kumar Son of Manish Kumar Resident of village- Khawa, P.s-Mednichowki, District-Lakhisarai
4. Rajiv Kumar Son of manish Kumar Resident of village- Khawa, P.s-Mednichowki, District-Lakhisarai
5. Rohit Kumar Son of Ayodhya Mandal Resident of village- Khawa, P.s-Mednichowki, District-Lakhisarai
6. Tara Devi wife of Sri Krishan mandal Resident of village- Khawa, P.s-Mednichowki, District-Lakhisarai
7. Renu Devi Wife of Manish Kumar Resident of village- Khawa, P.s-Mednichowki, District-Lakhisarai
8. Sri Krishan mandal Son of Late Gobind Mandal Resident of village- Khawa, P.s-Mednichowki, District-Lakhisarai
9. Ayodhya Mandal son of Late Gobind Mandal Resident of village- Khawa, P.s-Mednichowki, District-Lakhisarai

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Pawan Kumar Chaurasia, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJIT KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 22-07-2026 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and
learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with Mednichowki P.S. Case No.71 of 2026, F.I.R dated 03.05.2026 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 109(1), 191(2), 190, 126(2), 115(2), 303(2), 329(4),



324(4), 74, 352, 351(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. According to prosecution case, on 03.05.2026 at about 1:00 P.M., all the named accused persons came to his house, pelted stones, forcibly entered the premises, and assaulted him and his wife. It is alleged that Manish Chaurasia and Roshan Kumar attempted to throttle the informant, while Rohit Kumar and Tara Devi attempted to throttle his wife, though both survived. The accused are further alleged to have taken away jewellery and cash amounting to Rs.50,000 and damaged the CCTV camera installed at the informant's house by striking it with sticks and stones.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the allegations against these petitioners is of having damaged the CCTV cameras, which were installed at the house of the informant's son to keep supervision, which was not liked by these petitioners and accordingly, the same has been damaged. It has further been submitted that injuries have also been caused to the informant and his wife but the injuries which are said to have been sustained by the informant and his wife are found to be simple in nature.

5. At this stage, learned counsel for the informant, who is being represented by Sri Parmanand Prasad Shahi, submits that the entire CCTV, which was kept for security purposes has been



damaged, to which the learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions, submits that the petitioners are ready to restore/install CCTV cameras, which was damaged by these petitioners and certificate of such restoration/installation shall also be obtained by the accused persons, which shall be produced at the time of furnishing of bail bonds.

5. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail application but submits that the proposal given by the petitioners can be accepted in order to give quietus to the on-going dispute.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances that the injuries sustained by the informant and his wife are simple in nature and the petitioners, without accepting their guilt, are ready to restore/install CCTV cameras, which were damaged by these petitioners and the said proposal has been accepted by the informant's counsel. Accordingly, this Court is inclined to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

7. Let the petitioners, above named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the Court below within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned J.M. 1st Class, Lakhisarai, in connection with



Mednichowki P.S. Case No.71 of 2026, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure / Section 482(2) of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita and with other following conditions:-

(i) one of the bailors should be the family member/relative/known of the petitioner(s) who shall provide official document/personal affidavit to show his/her bona fide;

(ii) the petitioner(s) shall appear on each and every date before the Trial Court and failure to do so for two consecutive dates without plausible reason will entail cancellation of his/her/their bail bond by the Trial Court itself;

(iii) the petitioner(s) shall in no way try to induce or promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with the evidences, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of the bail bonds;

(iv) the petitioner(s) shall desist from committing any criminal offence again, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of their bail bonds.

(Ajit Kumar, J)

sharun/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

