

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.49724 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-6 Year-2024 Thana- PAKARIBARAW District- Nawada

Ganesh Yadav S/o Sunil Yadav R/o Village- Sudampur, P.S.- Pakribarwan,
District- Nawada

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Victim D/o Veena Chauhan R/o Village- Chadihari, P.S.- Pakribarawan,
District- Nawada

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sanjay Prasad

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Yogendra Kumar Singh

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

7 09-01-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Pakribarawan P.S. Case No. 06 of 2024 instituted for the
offences under Sections 376 D of the Indian Penal Code, read
with Sections 4 and 6 of the POCSO Act.

3. This is the second attempt of the petitioner for bail.
The petitioner has renewed his prayer for grant of regular bail
which was earlier rejected by this Court vide order dated
25.09.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 60225 of 2024 taking into
consideration the nature and gravity of offence and direct
allegation against the petitioner.

4. In compliance of the order dated 10.10.2025, a
report dated 01.11.2025 with regard to the present stage of trial



has been received. From perusal of the aforesaid report, it appears that out of five charge-sheeted witnesses, four witnesses have already been examined in this case. It is further reported that presently, the case is pending for the examination of the prosecution.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 08.01.2024 without any rhymes or reason and has got no criminal antecedent.

6. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

7. There is no new ground to consider the bail petition of the petitioner. From the aforesaid report, it appears that the trial is going on and is at an advance stage.

8. In view of the above, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is again rejected with a direction to the court below to expedite the trial and conclude the same expeditiously preferably within a period of one month from today.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Rajorshi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

