

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.60452 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-41 Year-2020 Thana- RAMKRISHNANAGAR District- Patna

Chandan Kumar@Chandan Gop S/o Om Prakash Yadav R/o Village-
Belwargani, Near Anand mall, PS- Alamganj, Distt- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Jay Ram Prasad, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Bishweshwar Ram, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

7 06-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Ramakrishna Nagar P.S. Case No. 41 of 2020, instituted for the
offences under Section 302 of the Indian Penal Code, Section 27
of the Arms Act and Section 30(a) of Bihar Prohibition and
Excise Amendment Act, 2018.

3. This is the fifth attempt of the petitioner for bail.
The petitioner has renewed his prayer for grant of regular bail
which was earlier rejected three times by a co-ordinate Bench
and fourth time rejected by this Court vide order dated
20.04.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 4091 of 2024 taking into
account the entire facts and circumstances of the case.



4. In compliance of the order dated 09.01.2026, a report dated 16.09.2025 with regard to the present stage of trial has been received. From perusal of the aforesaid report, it appears that out of ten charge-sheeted witnesses, eight witnesses have already been examined in this case. It is further reported that the trial is likely to be concluded within a period of three months.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 29.02.2020 without any rhymes or reason and has got one criminal antecedent in which he is on bail.

6. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

7. There is no new ground to consider the bail petition of the petitioner. From the aforesaid report, it appears that the trial is going on and is at an advance stage.

8. In view of the above, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is again rejected with a direction to the court below to expedite the trial and conclude the same expeditiously.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Rajorshi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

