

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.47200 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-146 Year-2026 Thana- KOPA District- Saran

- 
1. Vikash Kumar S/o Sri Ram Singh R/o Village and P.S.- Kopa, District- Saran
  2. Sri Ram Singh @ Sri Ram Mahato S/o Late Gaya Singh @ Daya Mahto R/o Village and P.S.- Kopa, District- Saran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

---

**Appearance :**

|                          |   |                                 |
|--------------------------|---|---------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s     | : | Mr. Basant Kumar Singh, Adv.    |
|                          |   | Mr. Vishesh Kumar Singh, Adv.   |
| For the Opposite Party/s | : | Mr. Kumar Ranjit Ranjan, A.P.P. |

---

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      15-07-2026                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned  
APP for the State.

2. The petitioners have prayed for regular bail in a case registered for the offence punishable under sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 109, 303(2), 352, 351(2), 3(5) of the B.N.S.

3. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners, along with other accused persons allegedly threatened the informant to withdraw an earlier case namely, Kopa P.S. Case No. 146 of 2026. It is alleged that petitioner no. 1, namely, Vikash Kumar assaulted the informant with a knife on his chest,



head and side of the body. It is further alleged that Petitioner No. 2 also assaulted with a knife to Vicky Kumar Singh causing injury in his chest.

4. From perusal of the injury report of both the injured persons, it is clear that both the injured persons have received multiple incise wounds. The doctor who examined the victim opined that though the injuries are simple in nature but is dangerous to life and were caused by a sharp and pointed object. It is also noted that the alleged occurrence is connected with the earlier case in which the petitioners had already threatened the informant to withdraw the prosecution.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in connection with the present case. Moreover, the petitioners are languishing in judicial custody since 08.05.2026.

6. Learned APP appearing for the State has opposed the prayer of regular bail.

7. Considering the nature and gravity of the allegations, the injury report and the overall facts and circumstances of the case, I am not inclined to extend him the privilege of bail. However, they shall be at liberty to renew their prayer for bail after six months. The learned trial Court is



directed to expedite the trial.

**(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)**

rupa/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

