

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.47150 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-602 Year-2022 Thana- HARSIDHI District- East Champaran

Niranjan Sah S/o Shatrughan Sah R/o Village - Harsidhi Malahi Tola, P.S -
Harsidhi, District - East Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Paras Sah S/o Vricha Sah R/o Village - Maharani Ugarsen, P.S - Mohamad pur, District - Gopalganj

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Hemant Ray, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Kumar Veerendra Narayan, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 31-07-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with
Harsidhi P. S. Case No. 602 of 2022 registered for the offences
punishable under Sections 304B, 498A/120B of the Indian
Penal Code and Sections 3 & 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

3. As per prosecution case, daughter of informant was
married with this petitioner in the year 2019 and thereafter, it is
alleged that this petitioner alongwith other family members used
to torture and assault her for dowry and lastly, on 21.10.2019,
the informant received an information that her daughter has
been killed by the accused persons.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. Petitioner is simply a victim of false implication. As a matter of fact, during course of cooking food, saree of the deceased caught fire and she sustained burn injuries, resulting in her death during the treatment. Petitioner is in custody since 18.03.2026.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State vehemently opposed the bail application and submitted that petitioner is husband of the deceased and there is direct and specific accusation that he along with other family members killed the daughter of informant by setting her on fire and disposed of the dead body with a view to conceal the evidence.

6. Considering the nature of accusation and gravity of the offence, prayer for bail of the petitioner is rejected.

7. However, since petitioner is in custody since 18.03.2026, Trial Court is directed to expedite and conclude the trial, preferably, within a period of one year from the date of receipt/production of copy of this order.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

Navya/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

