

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 46860 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-849 Year-2025 Thana- SONEPUR District- Saran

Ravi Kumar @ Ranjeet Kumar Son of Gajendra Rai Resident of Village-
Anandpur, P.S.- Harihar Nath Sonapur, Distt.- Saran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Ms.Kumari Anupam, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ajit Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 22-07-2026 Heard Ms. Kumari Anupam, learned counsel
appearing on behalf of the petitioner and Mr. Ajit Kumar,
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks pre-arrest bail in connection
with Sonapur P.S. Case No. 849 of 2025 registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 303(2), 317(2), 317(4) and
3(5) of the B.N.S.

3. As per the allegation made in the FIR, unknown
miscreants committed theft of a Honda Self Start EXK-1200
generator and other articles from the mechanical room of Vijay
Narayan Company Pvt. Ltd.. The stolen generator was
recovered from the house of co-accused Mithlesh Sah @ Kallu
Sah and, on the basis of information allegedly furnished by local
persons, the name of the petitioner surfaced as one of the



persons involved in the occurrence.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated due to village enmity. He submitted that the petitioner was neither present at the place of occurrence and evidently the recovery of alleged stolen generator was made from the house of a co-accused. The implication of the petitioner is only on the basis suspicion and disclosure of his name by the local people. Learned counsel further submitted that the petitioner has clean antecedent. On these grounds, the petitioner seeks to be released on pre-arrest bail.

5. Learned APP for the State vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of pre-arrest bail.

6. Having heard the rival submissions made on behalf of the parties, as well as, having perused the allegation made in the FIR and upon perusal of the materials available on record, it appears that the alleged stolen generator has been recovered from the house of a co-accused. The *prima facie* implication of the petitioner is based on mere suspicion, I am of the opinion that the petitioner who is having clean antecedent has, *prima facie*, made out a case to be released on pre-arrest bail.

7. The petitioner, above named, is directed to be



released on pre-arrest bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned District Court within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned District Court where the case is pending / Concerned Court in connection with Sonepur P.S. Case No. 849 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

8. The learned District Court is directed to verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and if it is found that the petitioner is involved in some other cases, as what has been stated in paragraph no.3 of the bail application, this order will automatically lose its force.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

Ashishsingh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

