

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.47361 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-89 Year-2026 Thana- DEHRI TOWN District- Rohtas

Sanjeet Sah Son of Late Ramdeo Sah Resident of Mohalla- Paschimi Mohan  
Bigha, Ward No. 17, Dehri, P.S. -Dehri, District -Rohtas

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Shashi Kant

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Rabindra Kumar, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      21-07-2026              1.    Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and  
learned A.P.P. for the State, Sri Rabindra Kumar.

2.    The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case  
registered for the offences punishable under Section 30(a) of the  
Bihar Excise Act.

3.    Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the  
petitioner has antecedent of three cases under the Excise Act and  
allegation is of recovery of 216 litres of liquor from an auto and  
a motorcycle.

4.    Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the  
petitioner was not arrested from the spot as such nothing was  
recovered from his conscious possession and he came to be  
implicated based on the fact that he is owner of the seized  
motorcycle from which 3.75 litres of liquor was recovered. It is



next submitted that no prudent person would use his own vehicle for committing an occurrence and thus would create evidence against himself and hence would get implicated, it is further submitted that petitioner was completely unaware that Ghanshyam would misuse the vehicle in the manner as alleged, who was also apprehended from the spot.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on Provisional anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Dehri (Town) P.S. Case No. 89 of 2026, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

7. It is made clear that thereafter the learned Trial Court shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in the event if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of more than three cases then it would be presumed that petitioner, for the purposes of seeking anticipatory bail, had concealed his antecedent



before this Court, as such, the provisional anticipatory bail order shall not be confirmed, but after verification if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of three cases in that event the provisional anticipatory bail order shall be confirmed forthwith.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sumit/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

