

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.46429 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-46 Year-2026 Thana- BHELDI District- Saran

Deelip Kumar S/O Bindeshwari Rai R/O Village- Maksudpur, P.S.- Bheldi,
District-Saran

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Ranjit Kumar Thakur, Adv.
For the Opposite Party : Mr. Raj Ballabh Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA SHEKHAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

2 29-07-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and

learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The accused/petitioner is named in the FIR and apprehending his arrest in connection with Bheldi P.S. Case No.46 of 2026 registered under Sections 80, 3(5) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (in short 'BNS').

3. As per FIR, the death of grand daughter of informant was caused by named co-accused persons including this petitioner due to non fulfillment of demand of dowry as alleged for one bullet motorcycle and gold jewellery.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of



petitioner submitted that the grand daughter of informant in fact committed suicide on 03.02.2026, i.e. just after three months of her marriage as she was not happy with petitioner/husband. It is submitted that the deceased solemnized her marriage with petitioner under the pressure of her family and therefore, she committed suicide. It is submitted that no mark of violation was found upon her body during post-mortem and therefore, it can be safely said that she was not subjected to physical assault soon before the occurrence. It is further argued that the petitioner was on his duty at Hyderabad, Airport when this occurrence took place.

5. Learned APP while opposing the prayer of anticipatory bail of petitioner submitted that petitioner is the husband and he was also specifically alleged to raise the demand of dowry. It is submitted that occurrence took place within the four corner of matrimonial home and therefore, the petitioner is under obligation to explain the unnatural death of the grand daughter of the informant/deceased, if it is otherwise.



6. In view of aforesaid factual submissions and by taking note of fact as the death of the wife of the petitioner *prima facie* appears unnatural, i.e. just after three months of her marriage, within four corner of her matrimonial home, whereas petitioner failed to place any *prima facie* explanation *qua* unnatural death of his wife, accordingly, the prayer of anticipatory bail of petitioner stands rejected.

(Chandra Shekhar Jha, J.)

Aniket/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

