

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.46809 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-46 Year-2025 Thana- SONAMANI District- Araria

1. Sajo Khatoon W/o Md. Sallu @ Salluk R/o Village - Palasmani, Ward No. 08, P.S - Sonamani Gudam, District - Araria
2. Khodija Khatoon D/o Md. Sallu @ Salluk R/o Village - Palasmani, Ward No. 08, P.S - Sonamani Gudam, District - Araria
3. Md. Sallu @ Salluk S/o Late Mulla R/o Village - Palasmani, Ward No. 08, P.S - Sonamani Gudam, District - Araria

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Gopal Kumar Jha, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Pranav Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 29-07-2026 Heard Mr. Gopal Kumar Jha, learned counsel
appearing on behalf of the petitioners and Mr. Pranav Kumar,
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners seek pre-arrest bail in connection
with Sonamani Gudam P.S. Case No. 46 of 2025 registered for
the offence(s) punishable under Sections 103(1)/61(2) and 3(5)
of the BNS.

3. As per the allegation made in the FIR, the
petitioners along with other co-accused including the husband of
the deceased committed murder of the daughter of the
informant.



4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submitted that petitioners are innocent and have falsely been implicated in the present case. Learned counsel further submitted that husband of the deceased is in custody and petitioners are residing separately from the husband of the deceased for a long time. On these grounds, the petitioners seek to be released on pre-arrest bail.

5. Learned APP for the State vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of pre-arrest bail.

6. Having heard the rival submissions made on behalf of the parties, as well as, having perused the allegation made in the FIR and considering the fact that the petitioners no.1 and 3 is mother and father of the deceased husband, against whom criminal cases are pending, **I am not inclined to grant pre-arrest bail to the petitioners no.1 and 3.**

7. **So far as petitioner no.2 is concerned, she is having no criminal antecedent and her complicity also cannot be derived from the basis of allegation made in the FIR, she is directed to be released on pre-arrest bail,** in the event of her arrest or surrender before the learned District Court within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties



of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned A.C.J.M.-
1st, Araria / Concerned Court in connection with Sonamani
Gudam P.S. Case No. 46 of 2025 subject to the conditions as
laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

8. The learned District Court is directed to verify the
criminal antecedent of the petitioner no.2 and if it is found that
the petitioner no.2 is involved in some other cases, as what has
been stated in paragraph no.3 of the bail application, this order
will automatically lose its force.

9. The bail application stands disposed of.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

Sanjay/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

