

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.10942 of 2026

1. Manoj Kumar son of Dev Nandan Prasad Yadav, resident of Village Madhepura, P.S. and District - Madhepura.
2. Subodh Kumar Sindhu, son of Yadubansh Rai, resident of Village and P.O. Mohanpur, P.S.-Patori, District Samastipur.
3. Radhe Shyam Singh, son of Jagar Nath Singh, resident of Village Mohammedpur, P.S. Zamania, District Ghazipur (U.P.).
4. Janardan Singh, son of Raj Narain Singh, residence of Nawa Dera, P.S. Dumraon, District Buxar.
5. Promod Kumar, son of Late Shree Ram Singh, resident of Raidoli, P.S. Bidupur, District Vaishali.
6. Ujjawal Kumar Pal, son of Late Ram Bachan Pal, resident of Purana, Bhojpur, P.S. Dumraon, District Buxar.
7. Anil Kumar, son of Baijnath Singh, resident of Village Khalispur, P.S. Kako, District Jehanabad.
8. Rajesh Kumar Singh, Son of Chhathiram Singh, resident of Village Pipra Jaimal, P.S. Arrah Muffasil, District Bhojpur.
9. S.N. Anwar Jamal, son of S. Manzar Alam, resident of Wlage Harnaha Tola Jhauganj, P.S. Chowk, District Patna.
10. Nawal Kumar, son of Shiv Nath Bhagat, resident of Village and P.S. Bihta, District Patna.
11. Ashok Kumar Mandal, son of Late Yogendra Kumar Mandal, resident of Village - Nawadah, P.S. Kharik, District Bhagalpur.
12. Shree Prakash Singh, son of Late Gopaljee Singh, resident of At Sonahuli, P.S. Ghanapur, District Chandauli (U.P.).
13. Anand Kumar, son of Ayodhya Prasad, resident of Village - Bhatkampur, P.S. Dumaria, District Bhojpur.
14. Manoj Kumar Singh, son of Rajendra Prasad Singh, resident of Village Madhua Tola, P.S. Pirpanti, District Bhagalpur.
15. Munna Lal, son of Chandrika Prasad, resident of Village Karma, P.S. Kothimar, District Maharajganj (U.P.).
16. Ishwar Chandra Gupta, son of Gupteshwar Prasad, resident of Awanti, P.S. Nuaon, District Kaimur.
17. Satendra Yadav, son of Bishnu Dev Yadav, resident of Village Madaripur, P.S. Paliganj, District Patna.
18. Krishna Kant Ojha, son of Late Dwarika Nath Ojha, resident of Village Nawadih, P.S. Behea, District Bhojpur.
19. Manoj Kumar Singh, son of Jagar Nath Singh, resident of Village- Sawan Bigras, P.S. Daraundha Siwan.
20. Suresh Singh, son of Radha Singh, resident of Dhankatta, P.S. Sasaram, District Rohtas.



21. Harendra Kumar Rai, son of Bhuneshwar Rai, resident of Village Nadhi, P.S. Sahar, District Bhojpur.
22. Keshav Prasad Singh, son of Ram Naresh Singh, resident of Village Mirzapur, P.S. Kinjar, District Arwal.
23. Mahendra Rajak, Son of Bapu Rajak, resident of Village Kasta, P.S. Parai, District Gaya.
24. Anil Kumar, Son of Satendra Prasad, resident of Village Saho Bigha, P.S. Ghosi, District Jehanabad.
25. Dinesh Rai, Son of Yogendra Rai, Resident of Village-Kharjamma, P.S. Mahnrar, District-Vaishali.
26. Krishna Ranjan Pratap Singh, Son of Lalu Prasad Singh, resident of Village Virana, P.S. Dhansoi, District Buxar.
27. Brij Nandan Singh, son of Baleshwar Singh, resident of Village-Mirzapur, P.S. Kinjar, District Arwal.
28. Shyam Kishore Mishra, son of Mahendra Mishra, resident of Village Khopi, P.S. Runnisaidpur, District Sitamarhi.
29. Sanjeev Kumar Singh, son of Birendra Prasad Singh, resident of Village-Enai, P.S. Rivilganj, District-Saran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Home (Police), Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director General of Police, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director General, Police, Bihar Spl. Armed Police, Bihar, Patna.
4. The Director General, Police (training), Bihar, Patna.
5. The Deputy Inspector General of Police, Bihar Special Armed Police (Central Range), Patna, Phulwarisharif, Patna.
6. The Commandant, Bihar Special Armed Police-16, Phulwarisharif, P.S. Phulwarisharif, District-Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Shambhu Shankar Thakur
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Standing Counsel (18)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 27-07-2026

Heard learned Advocates for the respective parties.

2. The petitioners before this Court are Constables who
have been discharging their duties in Bihar Special Armed



Police-16, which is a dying cadre battalion of BSAP. They were recruited to the post of Constable on 11.10.2010 through the same advertisement and selection process, meant for ex-servicemen.

3. It is submitted that the petitioners are subjected to disparities with the identically situated persons. Out of the 55 Constables appointed in the first batch, 25 Constables had completed their Senior Programme Course (SPC) training. However, due to the COVID-19 pandemic, the training programme was stopped and those who had completed the training, they were promoted to the post of Havildar and subsequently to the post of Sub Inspector of Police. However, the petitioners have been left out only on account of the fact that no training programme was convened for the petitioners. The State respondents have also come out with a letter dated 30.04.2026, whereby directions have again been issued for special training to batches of 36 Constables each. However, this time also the case of the petitioners has not been considered for such training.

4. For redressal of the grievance, the petitioners have also approached before the Deputy Inspector General of Police, Bihar Special Armed Police (Central Range), Patna; despite the



request and the representation pending since 11.05.2026, no favourable action has been taken, compelling the petitioner to approach this Court.

5. On the other hand, learned Advocate for the State submitted that since the petitioners have already approached before the authorities concerned, who is competent in the matter, it would be appropriate that if the concerned authorities shall consider the case of the petitioner in accordance with law.

6. Having considered the submissions advanced by the learned Advocate for the respective parties and upon perusal of the materials available on record, especially the fact that the petitioners have already approached before the Deputy Inspector General of Police, Bihar Special Armed Police (Central Range), Patna vide Annexure-P-6, copy of which has also been forwarded to the higher authorities, it would be apt and proper to dispose off the writ petition with a direction to the respondent no. 5 to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 11.05.2026 in the light of the averments made in the writ petition as well as the applicable rules preferably within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order by speaking and reasoned order.



7. With the aforesaid direction, the writ petition stands disposed.

(Harish Kumar, J)

Anjani/-

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	28.07.2026
Transmission Date	

