IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.48823 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC010669312026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-69 Year-2025 Thana- MAHILA P.S. District- Vaishali

==========

Manoranjan Kumar Son of Laxmi Sah Resident of Village- Husaina Ghat Gorol, Rasulpur Korigaon, P.S.- Goraul, District- Vaishali.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Bihar
2. Soni Kumari W/o Manoranjan Kumar, D/o Late Vimal Sah Resident of Village- Rasulpur Korigaon, P.S.- Goraul, District- Vaishali, At present resident of Village- Chandan Patti, P.S.- Sakra, District- Muzaffarpur.

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dr. Manoj Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Navin Kumar Pandey, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJIT KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

5 20-11-2026 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Mahila Hajipur P.S. Case No.69 of 2025, F.I.R dated 12.08.2025 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 115(2), 126(2), 82, 85, 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. According to prosecution case, in brief, is that the informant’s marriage was solemnized with the petitioner on 20.05.2015 as per Hindu rites and customs, and two children were born out of the wedlock. It is alleged that her father gave

WEB COPY

Rs. 5 lakh, gold and silver jewellery, furniture, clothes and other gifts at the time of marriage. After a few years of marriage, the petitioner developed an illicit relationship with Savita Kumari and allegedly married her. When questioned, the petitioner demanded Rs. 5 lakh from the informant for business purposes. On her inability to arrange the amount, all the accused persons allegedly assaulted her on 20.06.2025, deprived her of food, and ousted her from the matrimonial home, demanding that she bring Rs. 5 lakh from her brother to be allowed to live peacefully.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner,by referring to the mediation report dated 29.10.2026, submits that despite the best efforts made by the Mediator, the issues could not be resolved. However, the petitioner is ready to provide financial assistance towards the maintenance of O.P. No. 2 as well as the two children born out of the said wedlock. Accordingly, it has been proposed that the petitioner would pay a sum of Rs. 5,000/- on a monthly basis until the issues are finally resolved by the Court of competent jurisdiction.

5. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail application. However, the learned counsel for the O.P. No.2 accepts the said proposal.

WEB COPY

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, and taking note of the fact that despite their best efforts, the issues could not be resolved, and that the petitioner is ready to maintain O.P. No. 2 with financial assistance as is referred above, this Court is inclined to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner with a direction that the proposed amount towards the maintenance of O.P. No. 2 and their children shall be paid on a monthly basis, on the date fixed for the same, and the Court concerned shall fix the date of disbursement of the amount in question.

7. Let the petitioner, above named, in the event of his/her arrest or surrender before the Court below within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Vaishali at Hajipur, in connection with Mahila Hajipur P.S. Case No.69 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure / Section 482(2) of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita and with other following conditions:-

(i) one of the bailors should be the family

WEB COPY

member/relative/known of the petitioner(s) who shall provide official document/personal affidavit to show his/her bona fide;

(ii) the petitioner(s) shall appear on each and every date before the Trial Court and failure to do so for two consecutive dates without plausible reason will entail cancellation of his/her/their bail bond by the Trial Court itself;

(iii) the petitioner(s) shall in no way try to induce or promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with the evidences, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of the bail bonds;

(iv) the petitioner(s) shall desist from committing any criminal offence again, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of their bail bonds.

(Ajit Kumar, J)

sharun/-

U		T	

WEB COPY