

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.11461 of 2025

=====

Yashwant Roy Son of Rambriksh Prasad, a resident of Mansoorganj, Post Office- Begampur, Police Station- Malsalami, Patna City, Patna, District- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Additional Chief Secretary the Revenue and Land Reforms Department, Government of State of Bihar.
2. The District Magistrate-cum-Collector, Patna, District-Patna.
3. The Deputy Collector, Land Reforms Department, Patna City, Patna.
4. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Patna City, Patna.
5. The Circle Officer, Patna City, Patna, District- Patna.
6. The Director General of Police, Bihar, Patna.
7. The Senior Superintendent of Police, Patna.
8. The Superintendent of Police, Patna East, District- Patna.
9. The Sub-Divisional Police Officer, Patna City, District-Patna.
10. The Police Inspector-cum-S.H.O., Malsalami Police Station, District- Patna.
11. Raj Kumar Kanodia Son of Baijnath Kanodia, resident of Puaa Gali, Police Station- Chowk, Patna City, District-Patna.
12. Smt. Sudha Gupta Wife of Sri Jagdish Prasad resident of Karwa Ki Sarai, Police Station- Malsalami, Patna City, District- Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Arvind Kumar Mouar, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Government Pleader (23)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 28-04-2026 Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the grant of following relief(s):

*“for issuance of writ/writs,
direction/directions, order/orders to command
the respondents aforesaid to remove the*



encroachment of the petitioner's land which is in the name of petitioner's father namely Rambrisha Prasad under the jurisdiction of Patna City Circle and Malsalami Police Station under Khata No. 31/36, MSP No.18, Area-0 Acre 2.3351 decimals and the same plot of the petitioner has been encroached by his neighbours respondents No. 11 and 12 in this regard the petitioner have given several applications before the concerned respondents but no action has been taken by them and the petitioner has no other alternative or efficacious remedy only by way of filing the present writ application.”

3. After some argument, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he shall be approaching the Circle Officer, Patna City, Patna alongwith appropriate fee so that his land could be measured.

4. Learned State counsel submits that it is disputed land and litigation is there between the petitioner and the respondent no.11 and 12.

5. This Court is of the opinion that if any such



petition is preferred alongwith the requisite fee, the Circle Officer, Patna City, Patna shall look into the matter and act accordingly.

6. Needless to add, if he/she comes to the conclusion that it can be measured, proper notice to all the affected parties must be issued and the date be fixed so that they can present themselves on the said date for the said measurement.

7. The writ petition is disposed of with the aforesaid observation.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

vinayak/-

U			
---	--	--	--

