

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.48306 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-23 Year-2025 Thana- GHANSHYAMPUR District- Darbhanga

Jahid Alam @ Pintu, son of Md. Akhtar Reza @ Md. Akhatar Reja @ Md.
Akhatar Reza, R/o - Jirat Zirat, P.S - Biraul, District - Darbhanga, Bihar
... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vinay Kumar Mishra, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. M. K. Nirala, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 22-07-2026 Heard learned Advocate for the petitioner and the
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Ghanshyampur P.S. Case No. 23 of 2025 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 115(2), 126(2), 75, 303(2), 352, 351(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. Allegedly the informant was working as an Assistant Teacher in Primary School; on the alleged date and time of occurrence, while she was present in the school, in the meanwhile, the petitioner, who happens to be a relative and with whom some dispute was going on, came there and threatened to withdraw the earlier case. When protest was made, this petitioner allegedly slapped and snatched the valuables.

4. Learned Advocate for the petitioner submitted that



the reason behind the false implication of the petitioner is obvious that admittedly there was a long standing dispute running between the parties and the petitioner was making protest to the action of the informant's husband. Moreover, the alleged occurrence took place on 13.01.2025 and the present F.I.R. came to be instituted on 17.01.2025 without any plausible explanation of delay. The petitioner bears fair antecedent and he undertakes that he will fully cooperate in the proceeding of the court.

5. On the other hand, learned APP for the State vehemently opposed the bail application and submitted that the petitioner has not only obstructed in discharge of public duty, rather he humiliated the informant by slapping her in a public place.

6. Regard being had to the submissions advanced by the learned Advocate for the respective parties and taking note of delay in lodging of the F.I.R., coupled with the genesis of the occurrence, being a land dispute, besides the fair antecedent of the petitioner, let the petitioner, named above, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within four weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees



ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Biraul, Darbhanga in connection with Ghanshyampur P.S. Case No. 23 of 2025, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 with the further conditions:

(i) One of the bailors shall be the own/close relative of the petitioner.

(ii) If the petitioner would be found indulged in intimidating the informant/witnesses or indulged in such type of activity in future, the informant/State shall be at liberty to file an application for cancellation of the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Harish Kumar, J)

uday/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

