

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12457 of 2025

=====

Baby Khatoon @ Bebei Khatun @ Khatuna Khatin wife of Late Hatim Ansari
@ Hatim Miyan, Purana Bazar, Kochas Rohtas, Ward No.-12, P.S.-Kochas,
District-Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union of India through the Principal Secretary, Land Resources Department of India, New Delhi.
2. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Revenue and Land Reforms Department, Govt. of. Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, Rohtas.
4. The Additional District Magistrate, Rohtas.
5. The Chairman of National Highway Authority of India, New Delhi.
6. The Project Director, (NHAI) Rohtas.
7. The Regional Officer, N.H.A.I., Patna, Bihar.
8. The District Land Acquisition Officer, Rohtas at Sasaram, Bihar.
9. The CMD (Chairman cum Managing Director) Ashoka Buildcon Limited Company, Patna, Bihar, Block-C, Revenue Colony, Chajju Bagh, Patna, Bihar
10. The Circle Officer, Kochas, Rohtas.
11. The Officer Incharge, Kochas, Police Station- Rohtas.
12. Chandra Prakash Singh S/o Ram Bali Singh, Village and P.O. and P.S.- Kochas, Ward No.-6, District-Rohtas, Pin-821112.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Shambhu Sharan Singh, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Addl. Advocate General (09)
	:	Mr. Braj Bhushan Mishra, AC to AAG-9
For the NHAI	:	Dr. Maurya Vijay Chandra, Advocate
	:	Ms. Preety Ranjan, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

4 28-07-2026 Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the
grant of following relief(s):

“(i) for the payment of awarded



compensation amount of the Raiyati Land of petitioner acquired by the District Land Acquisition Officer, Rohtas at Sasaram and through District Magistrate, Rohtas, it was handed over to the Project Director, NHAI of NH-30 Rohtas for construction of National Highway Road.

(ii) for payment of statutory interest upon the delay payment of awarded compensation amount which is not paid upto now since 26.08.2013 (the date of notification and acquisition).

(iii) for direction/order to the respondent authority to make an enquiry about the delayed payment of the compensation amount to the original Riayat (Petitioner).

(iv) for other relief/reliefs as your lordship may deem fit and proper for the ends of justice in the matter.”

3. The affidavits have come and still it is not clear whether the petitioner is entitled to the compensation amount and/or whether respondent no.12 Chandra Prakash Singh has



lifted the amount. The voluminous counter affidavit of the State as also the cryptic counter affidavit of 'the NHAI' fails to throw light on the case in hand.

4. In that background, the only remedy available to the Court is to direct the petitioner to approach the District Land Acquisition Officer, Sasaram at Rohtas (respondent no.8) who shall be going through the facts of the case and see to it whether the petitioner is entitled to the compensation amount or not. If the petitioner is entitled to the amount, appropriate steps be taken and a reasoned order has to be passed by him/her.

5. The writ petition is disposed of with the aforesaid observation.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

vinayak/-

U			
---	--	--	--

