

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.48288 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-224 Year-2011 Thana- SUPAUL District- Supaul

=====

Yogi Pandey @ Yogendra Pandey Son of Late Bhola Pandey Resident of
Village- Sukhpur Ward No. -4, P.S.- Supaul, District- Supaul, Pin Code-
852131.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Purushottam Kumar, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Satyendra Prasad, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 22-07-2026 Heard learned Advocate for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection
with Supaul P.S. Case No.224 of 2011 registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 147, 148, 149, 342, 323,
324, 307, 353, 332, 333, 337, 338, 435, 427, 504, 379 and 511
of the Indian Penal Code.

3. It is alleged that on the given date and time of the
occurrence, an unruly mob comprising approximately 45-50
persons assembled and indulged in stone pelting, thereby
creating public disorder. It is further alleged that the mob was
being led by the petitioner along with two other co-accused.



During the incident, a vehicle was damaged and other public property also suffered damage.

4. Learned Advocate for the petitioner submitted that the FIR was admittedly instituted in the year 2011. However, at no point of time was the petitioner ever searched for by the police, nor was any summons served upon him. It is further submitted that the police, after completion of the investigation, filed the charge-sheet only after about eight years, pursuant to which the learned jurisdictional court took cognizance of the offences on 01.07.2019 against all the accused persons, including the petitioner. The petitioner came to know about the present case for the first time only when the police started looking for him in April, 2026, whereafter he immediately approached this Court by filing the present application for anticipatory bail. It is further submitted that the petitioner has a clean antecedent and undertakes to cooperate with the trial and remain present before the trial court on each and every date fixed till the conclusion of the proceedings.

5. *Per contra*, learned Advocate for the State vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail and submitted that the petitioner has approached this Court after an inordinate delay of about fifteen years. The petitioner had



deliberately evaded his arrest all these years and, therefore, *prima facie*, appears to have scant regard for the process of law.

6. Having considered the submissions advanced by the learned Advocates appearing for the respective parties and taking note of the fact that the charge-sheet was submitted in the year 2019, cognizance was thereafter taken, and subsequently bailable warrants and later non-bailable warrants came to be issued against the accused persons on 29.02.2024 and 17.12.2025 respectively, besides the fair antecedent of the petitioner and his undertaking to remain present before the trial court on each and every date fixed, let the above named petitioner, be released on bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Supaul in connection with Supaul P.S. Case No.224 of 2011, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS, with following conditions:

(i) One of the bailors shall be the own/close family members of the petitioner.

(ii) In the event of remaining absent of the petitioner



on two consecutive dates without sufficient cause, the bail bond shall stand liable to cancellation by the court concerned.

(Harish Kumar, J)

rohit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

