

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.46211 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-570 Year-2021 Thana- MASHRAK District- Saran

Praveen Kumar Singh @ Pravindra Kumar Singh Son of Late Shatrughan
Singh Village- Bahadurpur, P.O and P.S- Mashrakh, Dist- Saran at chapra

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Reema Singh @ Reema Devi Wife of Pravindra Kumar Singh Village-
Kritpura Bangara, Ps- Baikunthpur, Dist- Gopalganj

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Mr.Jeetendra Narayan, Adv
For the Opposite Party/s :	Mr.Madhura Nand Jha, APP
	Mr. Udai Shankar Singh, Adv

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

5 01-05-2026 **1.** Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel appearing on behalf of
the OP No. 2.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 323, 341,
498A and 379 of the Indian Penal Code read with Sections 3 and
4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
case was taken up on 15-9-2025 wherein a detailed order was
recorded and the petitioner had even submitted that his family
members on account of conduct of the OP No. 2 is aggrieved by
her; and his younger brother is getting married against whom



the OP NO. 2 had alleged that he attempted to commit rape, as such he was not getting married, but his marriage got fixed hence the family members did not want any kind of disturbance in the marriage, as such it was submitted that may by after marriage of his brother, an attempt would be made to revive the conjugal relationship.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner next submits that the relationship has not improved rather has deteriorated. It is also submitted that on account of false implication of his mother, she has lost her mental balance and is being treated at Banaras at Manshik Chikitsalaya. It is further submitted that the relationship in between the petitioner and the OP No. 2 has soured to an extent where it is not possible to revive the conjugal relationship, but with passage of time and on intervention of well-wishers, the parties may resolve their dispute amicably. It is next submitted that petitioner is employed as a Salesman in a shop which sells parts of Mahendra vehicles and earns Rs. 23,000/-. It is also submitted that petitioner is willing to pay a monthly maintenance of Rs. 5,000/- to the OP No. 2, which shall commence from 11-5-2026 and petitioner will also ensure the responsibility of the child.

5. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the OP No.



2 submits that it might be a ploy of the petitioner to seek anticipatory bail and after obtaining anticipatory bail, the monthly maintenance as agreed may not be credited in the account of the OP No. 2, on which the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that petitioner is aware of the consequences which would entail in the event if the petitioner breaches the undertaking given before this Court, it is further submitted that if privilege of anticipatory bail is granted to the petitioner and subsequently if the petitioner breaches his undertaking, in that event, his anticipatory bail shall be liable to be cancelled, on which the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the OP No. 2 submits that since petitioner is willing to pay a monthly maintenance of Rs. 5,000/-, as such no useful purpose would be served by sending the petitioner to jail as chances of future reconciliation will also get marred.

6. The learned counsel for the OP No. 2 also submits that he will WhatsApp the bank account number of the OP No. 2 on the WhatsApp number of the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned counsel for the petitioner undertakes to communicate the same to the petitioner so that the monthly maintenance commences from 11-5-2026.

7. Considering the submission made by the learned



counsel for the parties, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 5,000/- (Rupees Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Mashrak PS Case No. 570 of 2021, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

8. However, it is made clear that OP No. 2 shall be at liberty to file an application before this court seeking cancellation of the anticipatory bail granted to the petitioner, in the event if the petitioner does not deposit the monthly maintenance as agreed for two consecutive months.

9. It is further made clear that the present maintenance shall stop, if a court of competent jurisdiction fixes the maintenance.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sumit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

