IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.49463 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC010666012026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-271 Year-2024 Thana- HAJIPUR SADAR District- Vaishali

==========

Sujeet Kumar @ Thapchi @ Sujit Kumar S/o Vashudev Rai @ Vasudev Ray R/O Village - Chakbijgani, Dighi Kala, Chak Sakra, P.S - Sadar Hajipur, District - Vaishali.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dhirendra Prasad Sinha, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Binod Kumar, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY

ORAL ORDER

3 29-10-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for regular bail in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 394, 307, 302 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. The case of the prosecution is that the informant is running a Customer Service Centre (hereinafter referred to as 'CSC') of IDFC First Bank and her husband is a security guard. On the date of the occurrence, she was working at her CSC. Her husband has also returned from his duty and at about 03.55 PM, three miscreants on a red color bike being armed with weapon entered in the CSC. They pointed gun on the informant and started looting the cash from the CSC and when the informant and her son objected, accused persons started firing at them. The

WEB COPY

informant also received gunshot injury in his right leg. The husband of the informant received four gunshot injuries in his chest after that he rushed to the doctor where he was declared dead.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has falsely been implicated in this case. It is also submitted that the name of this petitioner has surfaced in the confessional statement of co accused namely, Vivek Kumar. It has also been submitted that nothing has been recovered from the possession of this petitioner. Save and except the confessional statement of Vivek Kumar, there is nothing against the petitioner. It has further been submitted that though petitioner is having criminal antecedent of nineteen cases but from perusal of annexure-2, it will transpire that at the time of occurrence, he was in judicial custody and he was produced in course through Video Call. Similarly situated co-accused Vivek Kumar has already been granted bail by this Court vide Cr. Misc. No. 13875 of 2026 and the case of this petitioner stands on similar footing. It is also submitted that no recovery and no TIP was conducted. Moreover, the petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 09.10.2025.

5. Learned APP appearing for the state has opposed the prayer of regular bail and submits that the petitioner is having

WEB COPY

criminal antecedent of nineteen cases.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be released on bail in connection with Hajipur Sadar P.S. Case No. 271 of 2024 on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Vaishali, Hajipur **with the conditions:-**

(i) The petitioner shall cooperate in trial and shall remain physically present in the trial court on each and every fixed date.

(ii) One of the bailors should be close relative of the petitioner.

(iii) He shall also mark his weekly attendance at Hajipur, P.S.

7. Office is directed to communicate this order to the Superintendent of Police, Vaishali.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Sneha/-
Shubham/-

U		T	

WEB COPY