

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.47188 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-225 Year-2026 Thana- Excise P.S. District- Gopalganj

Pawan Kumar Son of Jitendra Prasad Resident of Parsauni Khas, Police  
Station-Uchkagaon, District-Gopalganj

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajesh Ranjan

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Mritunjay Kumar Nirala

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

- 2      15-07-2026                      1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
A.P.P. for the State.
2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered  
for the offences punishable under Sections 30(a) and 32 of the Bihar  
Excise Act.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that  
petitioner has antecedent of three cases out of which two cases are  
under the Excise Act and allegation is of recovery of 1867.755 liters  
of liquor from a pick up vehicle.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that  
petitioner was not apprehended from the spot as such nothing was  
recovered from his conscious possession and is not the owner of the  
seized vehicle and came to be implicated based on confessional  
statement of apprehended accused in police custody which does not  
have any evidentiary value.
5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for



anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Trial Court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on **Provisional anticipatory bail** on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 15000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Excise P.S. Case No. 225 of 2026 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

7. It is made clear that thereafter the learned Trial Court shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in the event if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of more than three cases then it would be presumed that petitioner, for the purposes of seeking anticipatory bail, had concealed his antecedent before this Court, as such, the provisional anticipatory bail order shall not be confirmed, but after verification if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of three cases only, in that event the provisional anticipatory bail order shall be confirmed forthwith.

**(Satyavrat Verma, J)**

Rishabh/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

