

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.49745 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-20 Year-2026 Thana- CHAPRA TOWN District- Saran

Inar Rai @ Rajendra Rai @ Rajendra Ray @ Rajendra Ray S/o Late Kishun
Rai R/o vill - Dahiyawa, Dargah near Uma Nath Temple, P.s.- Chapra Nagar,
Distt.- Saran at Chapra

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Chandra Mohan Jha

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Damodar Prasad Tiwary

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 17-07-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 329(4), 317(4), 111, 308(2),
132, 318(4), 61(2) and 3(5) of the BNS, 2023, Sections 25(1-B)
(a), 26 and 35 of the Arms Act read with Sections 30(a) and 45
of the Bihar Excise Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has antecedent of three cases and is in custody since
05.05.2026 and allegation is of recovery of 10 liters of liquor
along with ear buds, mobile etc. and Rs. 5,00,900/- is alleged to
have been recovered from the house of Dasai Rai and country
made pistol was recovered from the house of Raju Rai along



with 930 ml of liquor.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the country made pistol, knife and sword was not recovered from his house and petitioner came to be implicated based on confessional statement of Lakhan Rai in police custody which does not have any evidentiary value and Lakhan Rai has been granted the privilege of regular bail by an order dated 17.02.2026 in Criminal Miscellaneous No. 10668 of 2026 passed by a learned Co-ordinate Bench. It is next submitted that Lakhan Rai is son of the petitioner and he was pressurized by the police to disclose the name of the petitioner. It is next submitted that if privilege of regular bail is granted, the petitioner will not abscond rather will cooperate in the trial to prove his innocence.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the bail application of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 5000/- (Rupees Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Town



P.S. Case No. 20 of 2026.

7. However, it is made clear that if the learned Trial Court comes to a conclusion that petitioner, after his release is trying to delay the framing of charge or after framing of charge is trying to delay the trial in any manner, in both the conditions, the learned Trial Court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

8. Accordingly, the instant regular bail application stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

