

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.47821 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-423 Year-2023 Thana- PAHARPUR District- East Champaran

ARJUN SHARMA @ ARJUN KUMAR Son of Subhash Thakur Resident of
Village - Sareya Banjaripatti, Police Station - Paharpur, District - East
Champaran at Motihari.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Shashank Shekhar, Advocate
For the Informant	:	Mr. Sanjay Kumar Tiwari, Advocate
		Mr. Mohan Kumar Tiwari, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Jitendra Kumar Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

2 22-07-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
Counsel for the informant and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner who apprehends arrest in connection
with Paharpur P.S. Case No. 423/2023 lodged on 19/10/2023,
for the offences punishable under sections 341, 342, 323, 324,
325, 307, 379, 504, 506, 34 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita,
2023.

3. As per the prosecution, the F.I.R. has been lodged
against seven named accused persons alleging therein that all
the accused persons were trying to make *medh* on the field of
the informant which was protested by the informant. Thereafter,
all the accused persons started abusing and threatened to kill the



informant. It is further alleged that Arjun Kumar is stated to have assaulted the informant with a 'kudal' on his head due to which he became injured.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He further submits that there is one criminal antecedent in which he is on bail. He further submits that Annexure-P/2 is the final form which has been submitted by the Police after investigation finding the case untrue against the petitioner. He also submits that even after filing the final form, cognizance has been taken. He also submits that the petitioner is ready to fulfill all the conditions whatsoever shall be imposed.

5. Learned Counsel for the informant opposes the prayer for bail and submits that the anticipatory bail application of Petitioner No. 2 in Criminal Misc. No. 38132 of 2026 has been allowed and the anticipatory bail of Petitioner No. 1 has been rejected by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 16.06.2026.

6. In response, thereof learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that bail application of co-accused Jagdam Thakur has been rejected. It is further submitted that the charge-sheet has already been submitted against the remaining accused



persons and the case of the petitioner stands on a different footing.

6. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for bail but fairly submits that though the police has submitted charge-sheet on conclusion of investigation, but finding the case against the petitioner untrue.

7. In the facts and circumstances of the case, let the above-named petitioner be released on bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the Trial Court within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of ₹30,000/- (Rupees Thirty Thousand only), as mentioned in Section 2(1)(d) of the *Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023*, to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-IX, East Champaran, in connection with the aforementioned case, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the *Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023*.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Manshi/Ashwini

U		T	
---	--	---	--

