

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.46323 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-141 Year-2025 Thana- RAJPUR District- Rohtas

Ranjeet Kumar Choubey, aged about 39 years, Male, Son of Jagarnath Choubey, Resident of Village- Nima, P.S.- Rajpur, District- Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ajay Kumar Tiwari, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mrs. Pushpa Sinha.1, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 22-07-2026 Heard Mr. Ajay Kumar Tiwari, learned counsel

appearing on behalf of the petitioner and Mrs. Pushpa Sinha.1,

learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks pre-arrest bail in connection with Rajpur P.S. Case No. 141 of 2025, registered for the offence punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 303(2), 351(2), 352, 109, and 3(5) of the B.N.S.

3. As per the allegation made in the FIR, petitioner along with other co-accused, with an intention to kill, had assaulted the informant causing head injury.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submitted that petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the present case. Both the parties were involved in dispute with respect to a piece of land, due to which,



an altercation took place and both the sides entered into fierce fight and in the self-defence, petitioner may have caused some injury to the person of the informant, without intention. Injury sustained by the informant has been opined by the doctor to be simple in nature. There is case and counter case between the parties. Petitioner has clean antecedent. On these grounds, petitioner seeks to be released on pre-arrest bail.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for pre-arrest bail to the petitioner.

6. Having heard the rival submissions made on behalf of the parties, as well as, having perused the allegations made in the FIR, it appears that both the parties were involved in dispute with respect to a piece of land, due to which, an altercation took place and both the sides entered into fierce fight and in the self-defence, petitioner may have caused some injury to the person of the informant, without intention. Injury sustained by the informant has been opined by the doctor to be simple in nature. There is case and counter case between the parties. Petitioner has clean antecedent. I am of the opinion that petitioner has, *prima facie*, made out a case to be released on pre-arrest bail.

7. The petitioner, above named, is directed to be released on pre-arrest bail, in the event of his/her arrest or



surrender before the learned District Court within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned ACJM-I Bikramganj, Rohtas/ court concerned, in connection with Rajpur P.S. Case No. 141 of 2025, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

8. The learned District Court is directed to verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner as stated in paragraph no. 3 of the bail application. If any other case is pending against the petitioner as what has been stated in paragraph no. 3, this order will lose its force automatically.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

Niraj/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

