

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.45787 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-407 Year-2025 Thana- MAHNAR District- Vaishali

=====

Ankit Kumar Son of Shravan Rai Resident of Village- Nanhak Chak Panapur
Dilawarpur, P.S.- Bidupur, District- Vaishali.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bela Singh, Advocate

For the State : Mr. Jharkhandi Upadhyay, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 09-07-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with Mahnar P.S. Case No. 407 of 2025 registered for the offence under Sections 137(2), 96, 351(2), 3(5) of Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. As per the prosecution case, the petitioner, Ankit Kumar is alleged to have kidnapped the daughter of the informant.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the victim in her statement has stated that she had gone with the petitioner on her own will and has married the petitioner and is living with him.

5. It has been further submitted by the learned counsel



for the petitioner that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated.

6. Learned APP appearing for the State opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

7. Considering the fact that the victim in her statement has not supported the prosecution case and has already married the petitioner, this anticipatory bail is allowed.

8. Let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate-1st Class, Vaishali at Hajipur/concerned Court below, in connection with Mahnar P.S. Case No. 407 of 2025.

9. It is, however, clarified that the observations made herein are limited to the adjudication of the present bail application and shall not prejudice the case of the petitioner at any subsequent stage of the trial and this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

pallavi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

