

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.45538 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-109 Year-2026 Thana- SRINAGAR District- West Champaran

Sadhu Patel @ Kanhaiya Patel S/o Bunnilal Patel Resident of village -  
Siswakutti, ward no. 9, P.S.- Srinagar, Distt.- West Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. X W/o Y R/o vill - Bhaswa, P.S.- Srinagar, Distt.- West Champaran

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

|                          |   |                                   |
|--------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s     | : | Mr. Sharad Kumar Verma, Advocate  |
|                          |   | Mr. Umesh Chandra Verma, Advocate |
| For the Opposite Party/s | : | Mr. Binod Kumar, APP              |

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RANJAN KUMAR JHA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      17-07-2026                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned  
counsel for the informant, and the learned APP for the State.

2. A Vakalatnama has been filed today in the office on  
behalf of the informant. Let his appearance be recorded on the  
record.

3. The petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in connection  
with Srinagar P.S. Case No. 109 of 2026, registered for the  
offences punishable under Sections 65(2) and 62 of the B.N.S.,  
2023, along with Sections 4 and 6 of the Protection of Children  
from Sexual Offences (POCSO) Act.

4. The allegation against the petitioner is of sexual  
abuse committed upon an eight-year-old minor girl.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the



petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case due to local rivalry and that there is an inordinate delay of 3 days in lodging the FIR.

6. Learned APP for the State, assisted by the learned counsel for the informant, vehemently opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail, submitting that there are specific and serious allegations of sexual assault against the minor victim child.

7. Looking at the gravity and serious nature of the allegations involving the sexual abuse of an eight-year-old minor girl, this Court is not inclined to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

8. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner stands rejected.

**(Ranjan Kumar Jha, J)**

rashmi/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

