

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.59233 of 2018

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-3582 Year-2017 Thana- PATNA COMPLAINT CASE District-
Patna

=====

Chandra Shekhar Bimal S/o Late Jitendra Prasad, R/o Chamber No. 2, 1st Floor, Main Hall, Advocate Association, Patna, P.S.- Gandhi Maidan, District- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. Hiralal @ Hiralal S/o Late Pran Nath,
3. Smt. Sunita Devi @ Sunita Devi W/o Hiralal Gupta, Opposite Parties No. 2 and 3 R/o Gardanibagh, Road No. 16/10, Chak Binda, Back of Quarter No. 26, Gardanibagh, P.S.- Gardanibagh No. 26, Gardanibagh, P.S.- Gardanibagh, District- Patna.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Mr. Surendra Kishore Thakur
For the Opposite Party/s :	Sri Amit Kumar Rakesh
	Mr. Pankaj Majjorwar

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

7 23-07-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned APP for the State, and learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant.

2. The present application has been filed for cancellation of the anticipatory bail granted to Opposite Party Nos. 2 and 3 *vide* order dated 14.06.2018 passed in A.B.P. No. 3190 of 2018 by the learned District & Additional Sessions Judge-XI, Patna, in connection with Complaint Case No. 3582 of 2017.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner



submits that after being granted the privilege of anticipatory bail, Opposite Party Nos. 2 and 3 have violated the conditions imposed by the learned court and have indulged in acts which constitute sufficient grounds for cancellation of the bail granted in their favour. It is, therefore, prayed that the anticipatory bail granted to Opposite Party Nos. 2 and 3 be cancelled.

4. Having considered the submissions advanced on behalf of the parties and taking into account the nature of allegations made in the present application, this Court finds that the grounds sought to be urged for cancellation of bail involve disputed questions of fact, which are required to be examined by the court which had granted the privilege of anticipatory bail.

5. Accordingly, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the allegations or the defence of the parties, the present application stands disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to approach the court concerned by filing an appropriate application for cancellation of anticipatory bail on the grounds raised in the present proceedings.

6. In the event of filing of such an application, the court concerned shall consider and dispose of the same in accordance with law, preferably within a period of fifteen days from the date of filing of such application.



7. Let a copy of this order be communicated to the learned Principal District & Sessions Judge, Patna, through FAX/e-mail for information and necessary compliance.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

Shishir/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

