

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.10489 of 2026

=====

Shanti Kumari @ Shanti Devi @ Sumitra Devi D/o Late Deoraj Singh
resident of village Gangouli Tola (Gajbore) P.S. Dehri District Rohtas at
Sasaram At present Wife of Rameshwar Singh resident of village Mahuaon
P.S. Obra District Aurangabad

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Director Land Acquisition Department, Govt. of Bihar
2. District Magistrate Rohtas at Sasaram
3. District Land Acquisition Officer, Rohtas at Sasaram
4. Sheela Devi Wife of Late Kamta Singh resident of village Gangouli Tola (Gajbore) P.S. Dehri District-Rohtas at Sasaram
5. Kalawati Devi wife of Late Ram Deo Singh resident of village Gangouli Tola (Gajbore) P.S. Dehri District-Rohtas at Sasaram

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Bachan Jee Ojha, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Government Pleader (27)
	:	Mr. Shankar Kumar Thakur, AC to GP-27

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY

ORAL ORDER

2 21-07-2026 Heard Mr. Bachan Jee Ojha, learned counsel for the

petitioner and learned State counsel.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the
grant of following relief(s):

*“(i) for issuance of an appropriate writ in
the nature of Mandamus directing the respondent
authorities to distribute the compensation
(Award) among Co-sharers of acquired landed*



properly in accordance with their share situated in Mauza Gangouli Khata no. 526/ 571 and 581/631 of different Survey/ Chak Kheshra for the Purpose of Railway department of D.F.C.C. I. L (Dedicated Freight Corridor Corporation of India Limited) and petitioner lady is also one of the co-sharer.

(ii) for issuance of an appropriate writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondent authorities not to distribute the share of the petitioner till the decision of Title Suit No. 1010 of 2021 filed by the petitioner pending in the Court of Civil Judge (S.D)-1 Rohtas at Sasaram.

(iii) to grant any other relief/reliefs to which the petitioner may be found entitled to in the facts and circumstances of the case.”

3. After some argument, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that it will suffice if the widow petitioner is heard by the respondent no.3, the District Land Acquisition Officer, Rohtas at Sasaram.

4. Learned State counsel has no objection.



5. In that background, the writ petition is disposed of allowing the petitioner to approach the respondent no.3, the District Land Acquisition Officer, Rohtas at Sasarm in next four weeks who shall be after noticing/hearing the parties taking the matter to its logical conclusion in accordance with law, at an earliest preferably within a period of four months.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

vinayak/-

U			
---	--	--	--

