IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL APPEAL (SJ) No.2407 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC010647742026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-181 Year-2022 Thana- DARIYAPUR District- Saran

==========

Ravi Rai S/o Munna Rai @ Nanhi Rai R/o Village and P.O - Dariyapur, P.S - Dariyapur, District - Saran

... ... Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Nageshwar Ram S/o Late Ramdas Ram R/o Village - Kasmar, P.S - Sonepur, District - Saran

... ... Respondent/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Appellant/s : Mr.Nalin Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Binay Krishna, Spl.P.P.

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA

ORAL ORDER

4 20-11-2026 Heard Mr. Nalin Kumar, learned counsel for the appellant and MrBinay Krishna, learned Spl.P.P. for the State.

2. This is an appeal under Section 14(A)(ii) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 2016 (hereinafter referred to as the "SC/ST Act") against the refusal of prayer for regular bail vide order dated 26.05.2026 passed by the learned SC/ST Exclusive Special Judge, Chapra, Saran in SC/ST Trial Case No. 187/2022 arising out of Dariyapur P.S. Case No.181/2022, F.I.R. dated 05.04.2022 registered under Sections 302,120(B)/34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 3(2)(v) of the Scheduled Castes

WEB COPY

and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act.

3. Earlier the prayer for bail of the appellant was twice rejected vide order dated 11.08.2023 passed in Cr.Appeal (SJ) No. 1691 of 2023 and order dated 02.08.2024 passed in Cr. Appeal (SJ) No. 1638 of 2024 respectively.

4. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that the appellant has clean antecedent and he has falsely been implicated in the present case. Appellant is in custody since 15.04.2022 and the trial is not in progress.

5. Vide order dated 29.08.2026 a report was called for with regard to the present stage of the trial. Report of the learned Trial Court dated 15.09.2026 reveals that the evidence of the prosecution witnesses has been closed and statement of the accused persons have been recorded under Section 313 Cr. P.C. and the case is pending for the defence witnesses.

6. Considering the nature of allegation as alleged in the FIR as well as the report of the learned Trial Court, I am not inclined to enlarge the appellant on bail in connection with SC/ST Trial Case No. 187/2022 arising out of Dariyapur P.S. Case No.181/2022 pending in the court of learned SC/ST Exclusive Special Judge, Chapra, Saran.

7. Prayer is refused.

WEB COPY

8. However, the learned Trial Court is directed to expedite the trial and conclude the same at the earliest.

9. Accordingly, the impugned order is affirmed and this appeal stands dismissed.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Nitesh/-

U		T	

WEB COPY