IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.46066 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC010643072026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-56 Year-2026 Thana- RAGHOPUR District- Supaul

==========

Rajesh Mukhiya S/O Late Narayan Mukhiya R/O Ramvishanpur, Ward no. 07, P.S.- Raghopur, Distt. Supaul

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Prasenjit Pritam, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH MISHRA

ORAL ORDER

6 04-11-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned APP for the State and perused the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Raghopur P.S. Case No. 56 of 2026, instituted for the offences punishable under Section 103(1) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that the informant’s daughter, was allegedly subjected to torture by her husband and in-laws, including the petitioner. It is further alleged that on 13.02.2026, the accused persons caused her death at her matrimonial home, whereupon the informant lodged the present FIR on 14.02.2026.

WEB COPY

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the present case. Charge-sheet has been submitted in this case. Learned counsel for the petitioner also submits that the petitioner is the brother-in-law of the deceased. No specific allegation has been attributed against the petitioner rather the same is general and omnibus in nature. It is next submitted that the petitioner is separate in mess and business from the husband of the deceased. It is further submitted that the trial is in progress and two witnesses have already been examined in this case. The petitioner is in custody since 08.03.2026 and has got one criminal antecedent.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner. It is also submitted that the petitioner is named in the FIR and specific allegation has been against him along with husband of the deceased. The allegation levelled against the petitioner is very serious in nature and the trial is in progress. Hence, the petitioner does not deserve the privilege of bail.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, present stage of the case, nature of accusation and the gravity of the offence, this Court is not inclined to grant bail

WEB COPY

to the petitioner.

7. The prayer is rejected. The trial Court is directed to expedite the Trial. However, if the trial is not concluded within a period of five months from the date of receipt/production, the petitioner will have liberty to renew his prayer for bail in the learned Court below.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Rajorshi/-

U		T	

WEB COPY