IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.44260 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC010640212026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-124 Year-2025 Thana- DARBHANGA SADAR District- Darbhanga

==========

Amrendra Paswan S/o Late Bhikari Paswan Resident of Village-Belmohan, P.S-Phulpatas, District- Madhubani

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Ms. Kumari Pallavi, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Renu Kumari, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH MISHRA

ORAL ORDER

4 27-10-2026 Heard Ms. Kumari Pallavi, learned counsel for the petitioner and Ms. Renu Kumari, learned APP for the State. Perused the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Sadar P.S. Case No. 124 of 2025 instituted for the offence under Section 310(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. The prosecution case, in brief, is that the informant alleged that on 12.05.2025. while he and other government servants were present at their respective residences, 8–10 unknown miscreants, armed with deadly weapons, including *khanti* and knives, forcibly entered their houses, assaulted the informant, tied his hands and legs, and committed dacoity by

WEB COPY

looting cash, ornaments and other valuable articles. On hearing the alarm raised by the victims, local villagers arrived at the spot and rescued them.

4. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 13.03.2026. Petitioner bears twenty-two (22) criminal antecedents, as per disclosure made in paragraph No. 3 of the bail application.

5. It has been further submitted by the petitioner's counsel that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. Petitioner is not named in the FIR. Name of the petitioner has transpired on the basis of confessional statement of co-accused, namely, Vipin Paswan. Nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. Petitioner has not been put on TIP to ascertain his complicity in the alleged occurrence. Charge sheet has already been submitted in this case.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner by contending that petitioner bears twenty-two (22) criminal antecedents, that too mostly of similar nature, hence he does not deserve the privilege of bail.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances

WEB COPY

of the case, nature and gravity of the offence as also twenty-two (22) criminal antecedents mostly of similar nature, this Court at this stage is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner is hereby ***rejected***.

8. However, petitioner will be at liberty to renew his prayer for bail in the Court below after six months, if so advised.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Raj Kishore/-

U		T	

WEB COPY