

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.10970 of 2026

=====

Mukesh Kumar Son of Late Sukhdev Pandit, resident of Village Beldhana,
P.O.- Noorsarai, P.S.-Chandi, District-Nalanda.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Revenue and Land Reforms, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Secretary, Board of Revenue, Old Secretariat, Patna.
3. The Deputy Secretary, Board of Revenue, Old Secretariat, Patna.
4. The District Magistrate Nalanda, at Bihar Sharif.
5. Sub-Divisional Officer, Hilsa, District Nalanda.
6. M/S Gyan Technological System Pvt. Ltd, a registered Company, through it Director Anshu Kumar, Son of Girja Nandan Singh, resident of 124 NO, Maurya Vihar, Khagaul, Phulwari Sharif, P.S.-Phulwari Sharif, Dist- Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Prashant Pratap, Advocate

Mr. Asit Kumar Jha, AC to GP-02

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 27-07-2026

Heard learned Counsel for the petitioner and
learned Counsel for the State.

2. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that
the petitioner has filed the present writ petition with the
following reliefs:-

*“(i) To issue an appropriate
order/ orders, direction/directions including
a writ preferably in the nature of mandamus
commanding and directing upon the
respondents concerned to make the payment*



of Rs. 420000/ (Four lacks twenty thousand only) to the petitioner. who has discharged his duties as a legal expert in the office of respondents No- 5 from the period of 30.04.2025 to 30.04.2026.

ii) To the direction respondents concerned to make the payment of penal interest to the petitioner on the above mentioned admitted dues indicated above.

iii) To any other relief/reliefs to which the petitioner may be found entitled in the facts and circumstance in the case.”

3. Learned Counsel for the State has raised a preliminary objection with regard to maintainability of the present writ petition due to the reason that the appointment letter of the petitioner (Annexure P/02) has been issued by a private limited company.

4. In response thereof, Counsel for the petitioner submits that from Annexure P/1, it is apparent that the work was being taken by the Government Authorities from the petitioner.

5. Upon perusal of P/2, it transpires to this Court that the appointment of post of Legal Expert was made by a private limited company and the said annexure stipulates only terms of engagement and the place where the petitioner has been offer to engage.

6. Under the circumstances, the present writ petition stands disposed off granting liberty to the petitioner to



raise his grievance against Respondent No. 6 by way of filing a title suit.

7. With the aforesaid observation and direction, the present writ petition stands disposed of.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Manshi/Ashwini

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	/07/2026
Transmission Date	NA

